arXiv:math/0008164v3 [math.OA] 29 Sep 2000

ON BURES DISTANCE OVER STANDARD FORM vN-ALGEBRAS

PETER M. ALBERTI AND GREGOR PELTRI

Abstract. In case of standard form vN-algebras, the Bures distance is the natural distance between the fibres of implementing vectors at normal positive linear forms. Thereby, it is well-known that to each two normal positive linear forms implementing vectors exist such that the Bures distance is attained by the metric distance of the implementing vectors in question. We discuss to which extent this can remain true if a vector in one of the fibres is considered as fixed. For each nonfinite algebra, classes of counterexamples are given and situations are analyzed where the latter type of result must fail. In the course of the paper, an account of those facts and notions is given, which can be taken as a useful minimum of basic C^*-algebraic tools needed in order to efficiently develop the fundamentals of Bures geometry over standard form vN-algebras.

1. Basic settings and results

1.1. **Definitions and conventions.** Throughout the paper, a distance function d_{B} on the positive cone M^*_+ of the bounded linear forms M^* over a unital C^*-algebra M will be considered. For normal states on a W^*-algebra d_{B} agrees with the Bures distance function [12]. Therefore, henceforth also d_{B} will be referred to as Bures distance function. We start by defining $d_{\mathrm{B}}(M|\nu,\varrho)$ between $\nu,\varrho\in M^*_+$.

Definition 1.1. $d_{\mathrm{B}}(M|\nu,\varrho)=\inf_{\{\pi,\mathcal{K}\},\varphi\in\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu),\psi\in\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho)}\|\psi-\varphi\|\,.$

Instead of $d_{\mathrm{B}}(M|\nu,\varrho)$ the notation $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu,\varrho)$ will often be used. For unital *-representation $\{\pi,\mathcal{K}\}$ of M on a Hilbert space $\{\mathcal{K},\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\}$ and for $\mu\in M^*_+$ we let

$$\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\mu)=\{\chi\in\mathcal{K}:\mu(\cdot)=\langle\pi(\cdot)\chi,\chi\rangle\}. \tag{1.1}$$

In case of $\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\mu)\neq\emptyset$ this set will be referred to as *π-fibre of* μ. The above infimum extends over all π relative to which both π-fibres exist and, within each such representation, φ and ψ may be varied through all of $\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho)$, respectively. The scalar product $\mathcal{K}\times\mathcal{K}\ni\{\chi,\eta\}\longmapsto\langle\chi,\eta\rangle\in\mathbb{C}$ on the representation Hilbert space by convention is supposed to be linear with respect to the first argument χ, and antilinear in the second argument η, and maps into the complex field $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathbb{C}\ni z\mapsto\bar{z}$ be the complex conjugation, and be $\Re z$ and $|z|$ the real part and absolute value of z, respectively. The norm of $\chi\in\mathcal{K}$ is given by $\|\chi\|=\sqrt{\langle\chi,\chi\rangle}$. For the relating operator and C^*-algebra theory, the reader is referred to the standard monographs, e.g. [16, 28, 21].

For both the C^*-norm of an element $x\in M$ as well as for the operator norm of a concrete bounded linear operator $x\in\mathsf{B}(\mathcal{K})$ the same notation $\|x\|$ will be used,

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L89, 46L10, 58B20.

The paper is the completed version of some parts of the lectures on Bures geometry which were held by the first author at ‘Graduiertenkolleg Quantenfeldtheorie’, in spring term 2000.

The second author was supported by a ‘Doktorandenförderplatz’ at ITP.

and the involution (*-operation) respectively the taking of the hermitian conjugate of an element x is indicated by the transition $x \longmapsto x^*$. The notions of hermiticity and positivity for elements are defined as usual in C^*-algebra theory, and M_{h} and M_+ are the hermitian and positive elements of M, respectively. The null and the unit element/operator in M and $\mathsf{B}(\mathcal{K})$ will be denoted by $\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{1}$. For notational purposes mainly, in short recall some fundamentals relating (bounded) linear forms which subsequently might be of concern in context of Definition 1.1. Recall that the topological dual space M^* of M is the set of all those linear functionals (linear forms) which are continuous with respect to the operator norm topology. Equipped with the dual norm $\|\cdot\|_1$, which is given by $\|f\|_1 = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in M, \|x\| \leq 1\}$ and which is referred to as the functional norm, M^* is a Banach space. For each given $f \in M^*$, the hermitian conjugate functional $f^* \in M^*$ is defined by $f^*(x) = \overline{f(x^*)}$, for each $x \in M$. Remind that $f \in M^*$ is hermitian if $f = f^*$ holds, and f is termed positive if $f(x) \geq 0$ holds, for each $x \in M_+$. Relating positivity, the basic fact is that a bounded linear form over M is positive if, and only if, $\|f\|_1 = f(\mathbf{1})$.

For each $f \in M_+^*$, there exists a cyclic *-representation π_f of M on some Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}_f$, with cyclic vector $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_f$, and obeying $f(x) = \langle \pi_f(x)\Omega, \Omega\rangle$, for all $x \in M$ (Gelfand-Neumark-Segal theorem). Considering that construction in the special case with $f = \nu + \varrho$ will provide a unital *-representation $\pi = \pi_f$ where the π-fibres of ν and ϱ both exist (we omit the details, all of which are standard). Thus Definition 1.1 makes sense, in any case of $\nu, \varrho \in M_+^*$.

In conjunction with the Bures distance d_{B} there appears the functor P of the (*-algebraic) *transition probability* [34]. For given C^*-algebra M and positive linear forms $\nu, \varrho \in M_+^*$ the definition reads as follows:

Definition 1.2. $P_M(\nu, \varrho) = \sup_{\{\pi,\mathcal{K}\},\varphi\in\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu),\psi\in\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho)} |\langle \psi, \varphi\rangle|^2$.

The range of variables in the supremum is the same as in Definition 1.1. With the help of P_M the following formula for d_{B} is obtained:

$$d_{\mathrm{B}}(M|\nu, \varrho)^2 = \left\{\|\nu\|_1 - \sqrt{P_M(\nu, \varrho)}\right\} + \left\{\|\varrho\|_1 - \sqrt{P_M(\nu, \varrho)}\right\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Thus, properties of d_{B} can be obtained from properties of P, and vice versa.

Remark 1.3. In [12], in generalizing from the commutative case which had been studied extensively in [22], expressions d and ρ instead of d_{B} and $\sqrt{P}$ were considered for normal states on a W^*-algebra, but with the infima extending over all faithful representations $\{\pi, \mathcal{K}\}$ where both fibres exist, accordingly.

1.2. **Some basic results on Bures distance.** Let $\nu, \varrho \in M_+^*$, and be $\{\pi, \mathcal{K}\}$ a unital *-representation of M such that the π-fibres of ν and ϱ both exist. Suppose $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho)$. Let

$$\pi(M)' = \{z \in \mathsf{B}(\mathcal{K}) : z\pi(x) = \pi(x)z, \forall x \in M\}$$

be the commutant vN-algebra of $\pi(M)$, and be $\mathcal{U}(\pi(M)')$ the group of unitary operators of $\pi(M)'$. Define a linear form $h^{\pi}_{\psi,\varphi}$ as follows:

$$\forall\, z \in \pi(M)' \,:\, h^{\pi}_{\psi,\varphi}(z) = \langle z\psi, \varphi\rangle\,. \tag{1.3}$$

For $\chi \in \mathcal{K}$ let orthoprojections $p_\pi(\chi)$, $p'_\pi(\chi)$ be defined as the orthoprojections projecting from $\mathcal{K}$ onto the the closed linear subspaces $\overline{\pi(M)'\chi}$ and $\overline{\pi(M)\chi}$, respectively. It is standard that $p_\pi(\chi) \in \pi(M)''$ and $p'_\pi(\chi) \in \pi(M)'$ hold, with the double commutant vN-algebra

$$\pi(M)'' = \big(\pi(M)'\big)'$$

of $\pi(M)$. By the Kaplansky–von Neumann theorem $\pi(M)$ is strongly dense within $\pi(M)''$, and therefore one always has

$$\overline{\pi(M)\chi} = \overline{\pi(M)''\chi}\,, \tag{1.4}$$

which is useful to know. Relating (1.1), for each $\chi \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\mu)$ the following is true:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\mu) = \big\{ v\chi : \, v^*v = p'_\pi(\chi),\, v \in \pi(M)' \big\}\,. \tag{1.5}$$

Recall some useful facts about (1.3). Assume $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$, $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_M(\varrho)$, and be

$$h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi} = \big|h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big((\cdot)v^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big) \tag{1.6a}$$

the polar decomposition of the normal linear form $h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}$. According to the polar decomposition theorem for normal linear forms in vN-algebras, a partial isometry $v = v^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}$ in and a normal positive linear form $g = \big|h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big|$ over $\pi(M)'$ and obeying $h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi} = g((\cdot)v)$ exist, and both are unique subject to $v^*v = s(g)$, with the support orthoprojection $s(g)$ of g. Thus especially

$$\big|h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big| = h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big((\cdot)v^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi}\big) = \big\langle (\cdot)v^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi}\psi, \varphi\big\rangle\,, \tag{1.6ba}$$

from which in view of the above the following can be obtained:

$$v^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi} v^\pi_{\psi,\varphi} = s\big(\big|h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big) \leq p'_\pi(\varphi)\,. \tag{1.6bb}$$

Analogously, by polar decomposition of $h^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi} = h^\pi_{\varphi,\psi}$, one has $v^\pi_{\varphi,\psi} = v^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi}$ and

$$\big|h^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi}\big| = h^\pi_{\varphi,\psi}\big((\cdot)v^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big) = \big\langle (\cdot)v^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\varphi, \psi\big\rangle\,, \tag{1.6ca}$$

from which analogously

$$v^\pi_{\psi,\varphi} v^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi} = s\big(\big|h^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big) \leq p'_\pi(\psi) \tag{1.6cb}$$

is obtained. In particular, with the Murray-von Neumann equivalence '$\sim$' one has

$$s\big(\big|h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big) \sim s\big(\big|h^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big)\,. \tag{1.6d}$$

We are going to comment now on some of the fundamentals of Bures geometry.

1.2.1. *Basic algebraic facts on Bures distance.* From [1, Corollary 1, Corollary 2, eqs. (5), (6) and Theorem 3] the following facts are known:

Lemma 1.4.

$$\sqrt{P_M(\nu,\varrho)} = \big\|h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big\|_1 = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}(\pi(M)')} \big|h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}(u)\big| = \inf_{x \in M_+,\,\text{invertible}} \sqrt{\nu(x)\varrho(x^{-1})}\,.$$

Each unitary orbit $\mathcal{U}(\pi(M)')\chi$ is a special subset of the π-fibre (1.5). Thus, the first two items of the following result at once can be seen from Lemma 1.4.

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose $\{\pi,\mathcal{K}\}$ is a unital *-representation such that the π-fibres of $\nu, \varrho \in M_+^*$ exist. For given $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho)$ the following hold:*

1. $d_{\mathrm{B}}(M|\nu,\varrho) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}(\pi(M)')} \|u\psi - \varphi\|$;
2. $d_{\mathrm{B}}(M|\nu,\varrho) = \inf_{\psi' \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho)} \|\psi' - \varphi\|$;
3. $d_{\mathrm{B}}(M|\nu,\varrho) = \|\psi - \varphi\| \iff h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi} \geq 0$.

Relating (3), note that $h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi} \geq 0$ is equivalent to $\langle\psi,\varphi\rangle = h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}(\mathbf{1}) = \big\|h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big\|_1$. The latter is equivalent to $d_{\mathrm{B}}(M|\nu,\varrho) = \|\psi - \varphi\|$, by (1.2) and Lemma 1.4.

Remark 1.6. 1. By Theorem 1.5, d_{B} can be calculated in each π where both fibres exist. Thus, on a W^*-algebra M and for normal states, the universal W^*-representation can be used. Hence, d_{B} and $\sqrt{P}$ argee with d and ρ of [12] there, see Remark 1.3.

2. For $\mu \in M_+^*$ and π with $\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\mu) \neq \emptyset$, there is a unique normal positive μ_π on $\pi(M)''$, with $\mu = \mu_\pi \circ \pi$. By (1.4) and (1.5) then $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{id},\pi(\mathrm{M})''}(\mu_\pi) = \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\mu)$, with the trivial representation id of $\pi(M)''$ on $\mathcal{K}$.
3. For a vN-algebra M and $\pi = \mathrm{id}$, the notation of the subscript/superscript 'π' will be omitted, and then $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$, $h_{\psi,\varphi}$, $v_{\psi,\varphi}$ and $p(\varphi)$, $p'(\varphi)$ instead of $\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu)$, $h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}$, $v^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}$ and $p_\pi(\varphi)$, $p'_\pi(\varphi)$, with $\pi = \mathrm{id}$, respectively, will be in use and $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu) \neq \emptyset$ will be referred to as fibre of ν, simply.

There are examples where Lemma 1.4 can be made more explicit. Let τ be a (lower semicontinuous) trace on M_+ (see e.g. in [16, 6.1.]). Then one has *-ideals $\mathcal{L}^2(M,\tau) = \{x \in M : \tau(x^*x) < \infty\}$ and $\mathcal{L}^1(M,\tau) = \{x \in M : x = y^*z,\ y,z \in \mathcal{L}^2(M,\tau)\}$. It is known that $\mathcal{L}^1(M,\tau)$ is the complex linear span of the hereditary positive cone $\mathcal{L}^1(M,\tau)_+ = \{x \in M_+ : \tau(x) < \infty\}$, and thus τ uniquely extends to an *invariant* positive linear form $\tilde{\tau}$ onto $\mathcal{L}^1(M,\tau)$. That is, $\tilde{\tau}(xy) = \tilde{\tau}(yx)$, for either $x,y \in \mathcal{L}^2(M,\tau)$, or $x \in M$ and $y \in \mathcal{L}^1(M,\tau)$. By uniqueness, τ can be identified with $\tilde{\tau}$, and then is referred to as *trace* τ *on* M. In this sense, for $z \in \mathcal{L}^2(M,\tau)$ and $y \in \mathcal{L}^1(M,\tau)$, we have a unique positive linear form τ^z and bounded linear form τ_y on M, with $\tau^z(x) = \tau(z^*xz)$ and $\tau_y(x) = \tau(yx)$, respectively, for each $x \in M$.

Let $I(M,\tau) = \{x \in M : \tau(x^*x) = 0\}$. This is a *-ideal in $\mathcal{L}^2(M,\tau)$. Consider the completion $L^2(M,\tau)$ of the space of equivalence classes η_x modulo $I(M,\tau)$ under the inner product $\langle \eta_x, \eta_y \rangle = \tau(y^*x)$, $x,y \in \mathcal{L}^2(M,\tau)$. By standard arguments the latter is well-defined and extends to a scalar product on $L^2(M,\tau)$, which then is a Hilbert space. Let $\pi : M \ni x \longmapsto \pi(x)$ and $\pi'(x) : M \ni x \longmapsto \pi'(x)$ be the *-representations of M over $L^2(M,\tau)$ which can be uniquely given through $\pi(x)\eta_y = \eta_{xy}$ and $\pi'(x)\eta_y = \eta_{yx}$, respectively, for each $y \in \mathcal{L}^2(M,\tau)$ and all $x \in M$. Then, for each $z \in \mathcal{L}^2(M,\tau)$ and $x \in M$ one has $\tau^z(x) = \langle \pi(x)\eta_z, \eta_z \rangle$. Note that $\pi'(M) \subset \pi(M)'$. In carefully analyzing π and π' in case of a vN-algebra M, and in applying Lemma 1.4 with the mentioned *-representation π and using that a normal trace is lower semicontinuous, one can prove the following important special cases.

Example 1.7. Let M be a vN-algebra, with normal trace τ. For $x,y \in \mathcal{L}^2(M,\tau)$ and $a = |x^*|^2 = xx^*$, $c = |y^*|^2 = yy^*$, one has $\tau^x = \tau_a$ and $\tau^y = \tau_b$, and the following formulae hold:

$$\sqrt{P_M(\tau^x,\tau^y)} = \tau(|x^*y|) = \tau(\big|\sqrt{a}\sqrt{c}\,\big|) = \sqrt{P_M(\tau_a,\tau_c)}\,. \tag{1.4a}$$

Let $s(\tau)$ be the support of τ, that is, $z = s(\tau)^\perp$ is the central orthoprojection obeying $I(M,\tau) = Mz$, see [28, 1.10.5.]. The following is useful in this context:

$$\tau(\big|\sqrt{a}\sqrt{c}\,\big|) = \tau(\sqrt{a}\sqrt{c}) \iff \sqrt{a}\sqrt{c}\,s(\tau) \geq \mathbf{0}\,. \tag{1.4b}$$

In the special case of $M = \mathsf{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the formula (1.4a) is known since [34]. With some more effort one even succeeds in proving (1.4a) without assuming normality. Relating (1.4b), this is a special case of the fact saying that $\tau(x) = \tau(|x|)$ is equivalent with $x\,s(\tau) \geq \mathbf{0}$, and which can be followed by reasoning about polar decomposition of x and invariance of τ (we omit the details).

1.2.2. *Metric aspects of the Bures distance.* From each of the first two items of Theorem 1.5 together with Definition 1.1 and (1.5) it gets obvious that d_{B} is symmetric in its arguments, obeys the triangle inequality, and is vanishing between ν and ϱ if, and only if, $\nu = \varrho$. Thus, d_{B} yields another distance function on M_+^*. By Theorem 1.5 (2), d_{B} is the natural distance function, in each space of π-fibres. Also, d_{B} is topologically equivalent over bounded subsets to the functional distance d_1, which is defined as $d_1(\nu, \varrho) = \|\nu - \varrho\|_1$, for $\nu, \varrho \in M_+^*$. For quantitative estimates, see [7, Proposition 1, formula (1.2)]. We do not prove these fact but instead remark that, in case of normal states/positive linear forms on W^*-algebras, this equivalence results from the estimates given in [12, 8, 9], essentially. The extension from there to unital C^*-algebras and their positive linear forms can be easily achieved by means of the first two items of the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 1.8. *Let $\nu, \varrho \in M_+^*$, and be $\{\pi, \mathcal{K}\}$ such that the π-fibres of ν and ϱ both exist. Then, the following hold*:

1. $\|\nu - \varrho\|_1 = \big\|\nu_\pi - \varrho_\pi\big\|_1$;
2. $d_{\mathrm{B}}(M|\nu, \varrho) = d_{\mathrm{B}}(\pi(M)''|\nu_\pi, \varrho_\pi)$.

Also, for $\nu, \varrho \in M_+^*$ one has

3. $P_M(\nu, \varrho) = 0 \iff \nu \perp \varrho$,

and for each $\mu, \sigma \in M_+^*$ obeying $0 \leq \sigma \leq \varrho$, $0 \leq \mu \leq \nu$,

4. $P_M(\mu, \sigma) + P_M(\delta\nu, \delta\varrho) \leq P_M(\nu, \varrho)$

is fulfilled, with $\delta\nu = \nu - \mu$, $\delta\varrho = \varrho - \sigma$.

Proof. In order to see (1) recall first that, since π is a *-homomorphism, according to basic C^*-theory, the unit ball M_1 of M is related to the unit ball $\pi(M)_1$ of $\pi(M)$ through $\pi(M)_1 = \pi(M_1)$. From this by the Kaplansky density theorem

$$\big(\pi(M)''\big)_1 = \overline{\pi(M)_1}^{\,\mathrm{st}} = \overline{\pi(M_1)}^{\,\mathrm{st}} \text{ (strong closure)}$$

is seen. Owing to normality of $\nu_\pi - \varrho_\pi$ we then may conclude as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nu - \varrho\|_1 &= \sup_{x \in M,\, \|x\| \leq 1} \big|\nu_\pi(\pi(x)) - \varrho_\pi(\pi(x))\big| \\ &= \sup_{y \in \pi(M)'',\, \|y\| \leq 1} \big|\nu_\pi(y) - \varrho_\pi(y)\big| = \big\|\nu_\pi - \varrho_\pi\big\|_1 .\end{aligned}$$

To see (2), apply Theorem 1.5 (1) to ν_π and ϱ_π in respect of the identity representation of the vN-algebra $\pi(M)''$. Under these premises Theorem 1.5 (1) yields $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\pi(M)''|\nu_\pi, \varrho_\pi) = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}(\pi(M)')} \|u\psi - \varphi\|$, for each $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{id}, \pi(\mathrm{M})''}(\varrho_\pi)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{id}, \pi(\mathrm{M})''}(\nu_\pi)$. In view of Remark 1.6 (2) this is $d_{\mathrm{B}}(M|\nu, \varrho)$ as asserted by Theorem 1.5 (1) when applied with respect to M, π, ν and ϱ.

Relating (4), note that for each invertible $x \in M_+$ obviously $\nu(x)\varrho(x^{-1}) = \{\nu - \mu\}(x)\{\varrho - \sigma\}(x^{-1}) + \nu(x)\sigma(x^{-1}) + \mu(x)\varrho(x^{-1}) + \mu(x)\sigma(x^{-1})$ holds. From this by Lemma 1.4 and positivity of all terms the assertion follows.

To see (3), note that $P_M(\nu, \varrho) = 0$ is equivalent to $h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi} = 0$, by Lemma 1.4, which is the same as $\langle x\psi, y\varphi\rangle = 0$, for all $x, y \in \pi(M)'$. Hence, $P_M(\nu, \varrho) = 0$ is equivalent to $p_\pi(\psi) \perp p_\pi(\varphi)$. Therefore, since $p_\pi(\psi) = s(\varrho_\pi)$ and $p_\pi(\varphi) = s(\nu_\pi)$ holds, with the support orthoprojections $s(\nu_\pi)$ and $s(\varrho_\pi)$ of the normal positive linear forms ν_π and ϱ_π (see Remark 1.6 (2)), $P_M(\nu, \varrho) = 0$ is equivalent to orthogonality of ν_π with ϱ_π. The latter is the same as $\|\nu_\pi - \varrho_\pi\|_1 = \|\nu_\pi\|_1 + \|\varrho_\pi\|_1$. In view of (1) equivalence of $P_M(\nu, \varrho) = 0$ to $\|\nu - \varrho\|_1 = \|\nu\|_1 + \|\varrho\|_1$ follows. ☐

Relating Theorem 1.5 (3), a remarkable fact firstly acknowledged in [9] says that $d_{\mathrm{B}}(M|\nu,\varrho)=\|\psi-\varphi\|$ can happen, for each $\nu,\varrho\in M_+^*$, see also [3, Appendix 7.].

Theorem 1.9. *Suppose $\{\pi,\mathcal{K}\}$ is such that the π-fibres of $\nu,\varrho\in M_+^*$ both exist. There are $\varphi_0\in\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu)$ and $\psi_0\in\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho)$ with $d_{\mathrm{B}}(M|\nu,\varrho)=\big\|\psi_0-\varphi_0\big\|$.*

Proof. For $\varphi\in\mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$, $\psi\in\mathcal{S}_M(\varrho)$ consider $h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}$ as defined in (1.3). Then $\big\|h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big\|_1=\big\|\big|h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big\|_1$ holds, see (1.6). Hence, in the notations from above

$$\big\|h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big\|_1=\big\langle v^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi}\psi,\varphi\big\rangle=h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big(v^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi}\big).$$

It suffices to show that partial isometries $v,w\in\pi(M)'$ exist which obey $v^*v\geq p'_\pi(\varphi)$, $w^*w\geq p'_\pi(\psi)$ and $h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big(v^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi}\big)=h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}(v^*w)$. In fact, in view of (1.5), for $\psi_0=w\psi$ and $\varphi_0=v\varphi$ one then has $\psi\in\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho)$ and $\varphi\in\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu)$. By the above and in twice applying Lemma 1.4, we may conclude as follows:

$$h^\pi_{\psi_0,\varphi_0}(\mathbf{1})=h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}(v^*w)=h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big(v^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi}\big)=\big\|h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big\|_1=\sqrt{P_M(\nu,\varrho)}=\big\|h^\pi_{\psi_0,\varphi_0}\big\|_1\,.$$

Hence $h^\pi_{\psi_0,\varphi_0}(\mathbf{1})=\big\|h^\pi_{\psi_0,\varphi_0}\big\|_1$, from which $h^\pi_{\psi_0,\varphi_0}\geq 0$ follows. In view of Theorem 1.5 (3), the constructed φ_0 and ψ_0 can be taken to meet our demands.

Recall that for a vN-algebra N on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ there is a largest central orthoprojection $z\in N\cap N'$ such that the vN-algebra $(N')z$ over $z\mathcal{H}$ is finite. Thus, in case of $z\neq\mathbf{1}$, $(N')z^\perp$ is properly infinite over $z^\perp\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, on $c\mathcal{K}$ one has

$$(N')c=\big((N')c\big)',$$

for each central orthoprojection c (the outer commutant refers to $c\mathcal{K}$). Applying this to $N=\pi(M)'$ with $c=z$ or $c=z^\perp$, and having in mind Remark 1.6 (2), we see that we can content ourselves with constructing the partial isometries in question only for vN-algebras with either finite or properly infinite commutants.

In line with this, let M be the vN-algebra in question, with M' either finite or properly infinite, and let ν and ϱ be implemented by vectors φ and ψ. We then will make use of the notational conventions of Remark 1.6 (3), and are going to construct v and w under these premises now.

Suppose M' to be finite. By (1.6d) we have $s\big(\big|h^*_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big)\sim s\big(\big|h_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big)$. In a finite vN-algebra, by another standard fact, see [28, 2.4.2.], this condition implies the following to hold, in any case:

$$s\big(\big|h^*_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big)^\perp\sim s\big(\big|h_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big)^\perp\,. \tag{1.5}$$

Hence, there is $m\in M'$ obeying $m^*m=s\big(\big|h_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big)^\perp$ and $mm^*=s\big(\big|h^*_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big)^\perp$. Define $w=v^*_{\psi,\varphi}+m^*$. Then, w is unitary, $w\in\mathcal{U}(M')$, with $ws\big(\big|h^*_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big)=v^*_{\psi,\varphi}$. Since by polar decomposition $h_{\psi,\varphi}(w)=h_{\psi,\varphi}\big(v^*_{\psi,\varphi}\big)$ is fulfilled, $v=\mathbf{1}$ can be chosen.

Suppose a properly infinite M'. Then $p\in M'$ with $p\sim p^\perp\sim\mathbf{1}$ exists. By (1.6bb), $p'(\varphi)-s\big(\big|h_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big)\in M'$ is an orthoprojection. Hence $\big\{p'(\varphi)-s\big(\big|h_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big)\big\}\prec p$ and $p'(\psi)\prec p^\perp$ ('$\prec$' be the Murray-von Neumann comparability relation). Hence, there are $v_1,v_2\in M'$ with $v_1^*v_1=\big\{p'(\varphi)-s\big(\big|h_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big)\big\}$, $v_1v_1^*\leq p$, $v_2^*v_2=p'(\psi)$, $v_2v_2^*\leq p^\perp$. Define $w=v_2$, $v=v_1+v_2v_{\psi,\varphi}$. Since $v_1^*v_2=v_2^*v_1=\mathbf{0}$ holds, the above and (1.6cb), (1.6bb) imply $v^*v=v_1^*v_1+v^*_{\psi,\varphi}v_2^*v_2v_{\psi,\varphi}=\big\{p'(\varphi)-s\big(\big|h_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big)\big\}+s\big(\big|h_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big)=p'(\varphi)$ and $w^*w=p'(\psi)$. With the help of (1.6cb) again, we finally see that $v^*w=v^*_{\psi,\varphi}v_2^*v_2=v^*_{\psi,\varphi}p'(\psi)=v^*_{\psi,\varphi}s\big(\big|h^*_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big)=v^*_{\psi,\varphi}$ holds. ☐

Remark 1.10. From Lemma 1.8 (4) the convexity/subadditivity properties of P are obvious: P is subadditive. On the other hand, by the scaling behavior of P on the cone M_+^* together with Theorem 1.5 (2) and formula (1.2), it is easily inferred that d_{B}^2 (resp. $\sqrt{P}$) is jointly convex (resp. jointly concave), on each convex subset of M_+^*, see also in [6], and [7, Remark 2 (3)] for some additional superadditivity property of $\sqrt{P}$.

1.2.3. *Minimal pairs of positive linear forms.* Let φ_0 and ψ_0 in the π-fibres of $\nu, \varrho \in M_+^*$ be chosen as to satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1.9. Define $\varrho^\perp \in M_+^*$ as follows:

$$\eta \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho^\perp),\ \text{with } \eta = s(h^\pi_{\psi_0,\varphi_0})^\perp \psi_0 \,. \tag{1.6}$$

Owing to $s(h^\pi_{\psi_0,\varphi_0})^\perp \in \pi(M)'$ and $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho)$, $0 \leq \varrho^\perp \leq \varrho$. Also $h^\pi_{\eta,\varphi_0} = 0$, since $h^\pi_{\eta,\varphi_0}(z) = h^\pi_{\psi_0,\varphi_0}(zs(h^\pi_{\psi_0,\varphi_0})^\perp) = 0$, for each $z \in \pi(M)'$. By Lemma 1.4 $P_M(\nu, \varrho^\perp) = 0$, and thus $\varrho^\perp$ and ν are mutually orthogonal, by Lemma 1.8 (3).

On the other hand, let $\sigma \in M_+^*$ with $\sigma \leq \varrho$ be orthogonal to ν. Then, by standard facts there exists $z \in \pi(M)'$ with $\|z\| \leq 1$ and $z\psi_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\sigma)$. Also, by Lemma 1.8 (3), $P_M(\nu, \sigma) = 0$. Hence, for $\eta' = z\psi_0$, $h^\pi_{\eta',\varphi_0} = 0$ by Lemma 1.4. Thus in particular $h^\pi_{\psi_0,\varphi_0}(z^*z) = 0$. By positivity of $h^\pi_{\psi_0,\varphi_0}$ and $\|z\| \leq 1$ one concludes $z^*z \leq \mathbf{1} - s(h^\pi_{\psi_0,\varphi_0})$. In view of (1.6) then $\sigma \leq \varrho^\perp$ follows. Thus we arrive at the following result, which goes back to [9], see [3, §2] and [19] for applications.

Theorem 1.11. *For each given pair $\{\nu, \varrho\}$ of positive linear forms on a unital C^*-algebra, among all positive linear forms σ with $\sigma \perp \nu$ and $\sigma \leq \varrho$ there exists a largest element, $\sigma = \varrho^\perp$.*

For given pair $\{\nu, \varrho\}$ there has to exist also a largest positive linear form subordinate to ν and orthogonal to ϱ, which we call $\nu^\perp$. Thus, for each pair $\{\nu, \varrho\}$ of positive linear forms both $\nu^\perp$ and $\varrho^\perp$ have an invariant meaning. The derivation of the previous result then also shows that, provided the π-fibres of ν and ϱ both exist, with respect to the pair $\{\nu_\pi, \varrho_\pi\}$ over $\pi(M)''$ the following must be valid:

$$(\varrho_\pi)^\perp = (\varrho^\perp)_\pi,\ (\nu_\pi)^\perp = (\nu^\perp)_\pi \,. \tag{1.7}$$

On the other hand, since $\varrho - \varrho^\perp \geq 0$ holds, we may also consider the pair $\{\nu, \varrho - \varrho^\perp\}$, and we may ask for $(\varrho - \varrho^\perp)^{\perp'}$ and $\nu^{\perp'}$, where $\perp'$ now refers to the $\perp$-operation with respect to the pair $\{\nu, \varrho - \varrho^\perp\}$.

Lemma 1.12. *For each pair $\{\nu, \varrho\}$ the following facts hold*:

1. $(\varrho - \varrho^\perp)^{\perp'} = 0,\ \nu^{\perp'} = \nu^\perp\,;$
2. $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu, \varrho)^2 = d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu - \nu^\perp, \varrho - \varrho^\perp)^2 + d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu^\perp, \varrho^\perp)^2\,;$
3. $P_M(\nu, \varrho) = P_M(\nu - \nu^\perp, \varrho - \varrho^\perp)\,.$

Especially, the pair $\{\nu - \nu^\perp, \varrho - \varrho^\perp\}$ is the least element of all pairs $\{\theta, \theta'\}$ of positive linear forms with $\theta \leq \nu$ and $\theta' \leq \varrho$, and which obey $P_M(\theta, \theta') = P_M(\nu, \varrho)$.

Proof. By (1.7), Lemma 1.8 and Theorem 1.11 we may content ourselves with proving the assertions for a vN-algebra M and normal positive linear forms ν and ϱ with nontrivial fibres. For normal forms orthogonality simply means orthogonality of the respective supports. Hence, $0 \leq \sigma \leq \varrho - \varrho^\perp$ and $\sigma \perp \nu$ imply $\sigma + \varrho^\perp \leq \varrho$ and $\sigma + \varrho^\perp \perp \nu$. By maximality of $\varrho^\perp$ then $\sigma = 0$, and thus the first of the relations of (1) is seen. Note that $\nu^{\perp'} \leq \nu$, with $\nu^{\perp'} \perp \varrho - \varrho^\perp$. From the former owing to

$\varrho^\perp \perp \nu$ then $\varrho^\perp \perp \nu^{\perp'}$ is seen, and thus the two orthogonality relations may be summarized into $\nu^{\perp'} \perp (\varrho - \varrho^\perp) + \varrho^\perp = \varrho$. Hence, in view of Theorem 1.11 then $\nu^{\perp'} \leq \nu^\perp$ follows. On the other hand, by definition, $\nu^\perp$ is subordinate to ν and must also be orthogonal to $\varrho - \varrho^\perp \leq \varrho$. Hence, $\nu^{\perp'} \geq \nu^\perp$ by Theorem 1.11 when applied to $\{\nu, \varrho - \varrho^\perp\}$. In summarizing the second relation of (1) follows.

Let $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{S}_M(\varrho)$ and $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$ be chosen as in Theorem 1.9. By Theorem 1.5 (3) and (1.6) one has $s^\perp\psi_0 \in \mathcal{S}_M(\varrho^\perp)$, with $s = s(h_{\psi_0,\varphi_0}) \in M'$. Note that $h_{\psi_0,\varphi_0} = h_{\psi_0,\varphi_0}((\cdot)s) = h_{s\psi_0,\varphi_0}$. By Theorem 1.5 (3) $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu, \varrho - \varrho^\perp) = \|\varphi_0 - s\psi_0\|$ follows. By orthogonality of $\varrho^\perp$ with ν we especially must have $s^\perp\psi_0 \perp \varphi_0$. Hence also $s^\perp\psi_0 \perp (\varphi_0 - s\psi_0)$, and thus we obtain

$$d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu,\varrho)^2 = \|\varphi_0 - s\psi_0 - s^\perp\psi_0\|^2 = d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu,\varrho-\varrho^\perp)^2 + \|s^\perp\psi_0\|^2 = d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu,\varrho-\varrho^\perp)^2 + \|\varrho^\perp\|_1 .$$

We may apply this to $\{\varrho - \varrho^\perp, \nu\}$ accordingly, with the result $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\varrho - \varrho^\perp, \nu)^2 = d_{\mathrm{B}}(\varrho - \varrho^\perp, \nu - \nu^{\perp'})^2 + \|\nu^{\perp'}\|_1$. By (1) and by symmetry of d_{B}, substitution of the latter into the former relation yields $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu,\varrho)^2 = d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu - \nu^\perp, \varrho - \varrho^\perp)^2 + \|\nu^\perp\|_1 + \|\varrho^\perp\|_1$. Since $\varrho^\perp \perp \nu^\perp$ and Lemma 1.8 (3) and formula (1.1) imply $\|\nu^\perp - \varrho^\perp\|_1 = \|\nu^\perp\|_1 + \|\varrho^\perp\|_1 = d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu^\perp, \varrho^\perp)^2$, from this (2) follows. In view of (1.1), (3) is seen. Finally, by Lemma 1.8 (4), $P_M(\theta, \theta') \leq P_M(\nu, \theta') \leq P_M(\nu, \varrho)$ as well as $P_M(\theta, \theta') \leq P_M(\nu, \theta') + P_M(\nu, \varrho - \theta') \leq P_M(\nu, \varrho)$ must be fulfilled. Therefore, $P_M(\theta, \theta') = P_M(\nu, \varrho)$ implies $P_M(\nu, \varrho - \theta') = 0$. By Lemma 1.8 (3) then $\nu \perp (\varrho - \theta')$ follows. Since $0 \leq (\varrho - \theta') \leq \varrho$ holds, in view of Theorem 1.11 $\varrho^\perp \geq (\varrho - \theta')$ follows. Hence, $\theta' \geq \varrho - \varrho^\perp$. Proceeding analogously for θ instead of θ' yields $\theta \geq \nu - \nu^\perp$. Thus also the final assertion is true. □

Definition 1.13. Refer to $\{\omega, \sigma\}$ as *minimal pair* if $\omega^\perp = \sigma^\perp = 0$ holds, and refer to $\{\nu - \nu^\perp, \varrho - \varrho^\perp\}$ as $\{\nu, \varrho\}$-associated minimal pair.

Remark 1.14. It is obvious from the last part of Lemma 1.12 that the $\{\nu, \varrho\}$-associated minimal pair is the unique minimal pair $\{\theta, \theta'\}$ obeying $\theta \leq \nu$, $\theta' \leq \varrho$ and $P_M(\theta, \theta') = P_M(\nu, \varrho)$, see [3, Lemma 2.1].

There is yet another remarkable uniqueness result in context of these structures:

Theorem 1.15. [3, Theorem 3.1] *For given pair $\{\nu, \varrho\}$ of positive linear forms on a unital C^*-algebra M, there is a unique $g \in M^*$ obeying*

1. $g(\mathbf{1}) = \sqrt{P_M(\nu, \varrho)}\,,$
2. $|\,g(y^*x)|^2 \leq \{\nu - \nu^\perp\}(y^*y)\{\varrho - \varrho^\perp\}(x^*x)\,,\ x, y \in M\,.$

2. FORTHCOMING RESULTS

2.1. **Setting the problems.** The problems of this paper naturally came along when analyzing in context of the hypothesis of Theorem 1.9 an example recently noticed in [26] and some other optimization problem, see [7, Theorem 6 (2)].

Problem 2.1.

1. Despite the given proof of Theorem 1.9, we are missing a true criterion along which one can decide whether or not, for given individual vector φ_0 in the π-fibre of ν, the condition in the hypothesis of Theorem 1.9 could be satisfied at all, by some vector ψ_0 in the π-fibre of ϱ.
2. Let $\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu|\varrho)$ be the subset of all φ_0 in the π-fibre of ν for which the question in (1) can be affirmatively answered. Then, provided $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu|\varrho)$ is fulfilled, is there a formula giving a particular ψ_0 to that φ_0 ?

3. Especially, by Theorem 1.9 the question is left open whether $\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu|\varrho) = \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu)$ might happen, on a given unital C^*-algebra.
4. And finally, provided for some M the answer in (3) can be in the negative, what about the structure of those pairs $\{\nu,\varrho\}$ with $\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu|\varrho) \neq \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu)$?

Thereby, in line with Remark 1.6 (2) and Lemma 1.8 (2), it is reasonable to content ourselves with considering these questions for vN-algebras with normal positive linear forms which can be implemented by vectors. In making reference to some ideas about hereditarity (we omit all these details), we even can be assured that without essential loss of generality we might confine ourselves to considering d_{B} over normal positive linear forms over a standard form vN-algebra M. Therefore, the problems in questions will be dealt with and answered examplarily at least for such cases. Then also the notational conventions of Remark 1.6 (3) tacitly will be in use, and $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho)$ instead of $\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu|\varrho)$ will be written.

Remark 2.2. On a *finite* standard form vN-algebra M, problems (1), (3) and (4) trivialize, for then always

$$\mathcal{S}_M(\nu) = \mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) = \big\{u\varphi_0 : u^*u = \mathbf{1},\, u \in M'\big\} \tag{2.1}$$

holds, with arbitrary $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$. In fact, for finite M, M' is finite, too. Since in a finite vN-algebra each partial isometry admits unitary extensions, for each orthoprojection $q \in M'$ one has $\{v \in M' : v^*v = q\} = \mathcal{U}(M')q$. Especially then also $\mathcal{U}(M') = \{v \in M' : v^*v = \mathbf{1}\}$ follows. Hence, in view of (1.5) each fibre is the $\mathcal{U}(M')$-orbit of each of its vectors. From this and Theorem 1.9 then (2.1) follows.

2.2. **The general criterion.** The first two problems of Problem 2.1 can be answered under the rather general settings of a unital C^*-algebra M, a pair $\{\nu,\varrho\}$ of positive linear forms, and $\varrho^\perp$ as determined by Theorem 1.11. Under the same premises as in Theorem 1.9, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.3. *A vector $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu)$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu|\varrho)$ if, and only if, there are $\eta \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho^\perp)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho)$ satisfying*

$$p'_\pi(\eta) \leq s(|h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi_0}|)^\perp\,. \tag{2.2a}$$

If this condition is fulfilled, then the special vector

$$\psi_0 = v^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi_0}\psi + \eta \tag{2.2b}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho)$, that is, $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu,\varrho) = \|\psi_0 - \varphi_0\|$ is satisfied. Thereby, for given φ_0 and η, (2.2a) *remains true for any $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho)$, and ψ_0 as given by formula* (2.2b) *is the same, for each such ψ.*

For the proof, it is useful to take notice of the following auxiliary results first.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\nu,\varrho \in M_{*+}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu)$, $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho)$. The following hold*:
1. $p'_\pi\big(v^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi}\psi\big) = s\big(\big|h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big)\,;$
2. $\forall\, \tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho) :\ \big|h^\pi_{\tilde{\psi},\varphi}\big| = \big|h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big|,\ v^\pi_{\tilde{\psi},\varphi} = w v^\pi_{\psi,\varphi},$ *with $w \in \pi(M)'$ obeying* $w^*w = p'_\pi(\psi),\ \tilde{\psi} = w\psi\,;$
3. $v^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi}\psi,\ s\big(|h^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi}|\big)\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho - \varrho^\perp),\ \ s\big(|h^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi}|\big)^\perp\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho^\perp)\,.$

Proof. Note that $s\big(\big|h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big) \leq p'_\pi\big(v^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi}\psi\big)$, by (1.6ba), and $p'_\pi\big(v^{\pi *}_{\psi,\varphi}\psi\big) \leq s\big(\big|h^\pi_{\psi,\varphi}\big|\big)$ by (1.6bb). Hence (1) follows. Relating (2), remark that by (1.5) $w \in \pi(M)'$ obeying $w^*w = p'_\pi(\psi)$ and $\tilde{\psi} = w\psi$ exists and is unique. In view of this and (1.6cb),

$u = wv^{\pi}_{\psi,\varphi}$ is a partial isometry of $\pi(M)'$, with $u^*u = v^{\pi*}_{\psi,\varphi}v^{\pi}_{\psi,\varphi} = s\big(|h^{\pi}_{\psi,\varphi}|\big)$. From this and $h^{\pi}_{\tilde{\psi},\varphi} = h^{\pi}_{\psi,\varphi}((\cdot)w) = \big|h^{\pi}_{\psi,\varphi}\big|((\cdot)u)$ by uniqueness of the polar decomposition the validity of (2) follows.

Let ψ_0, φ_0 be as in Theorem 1.9. By Theorem 1 (3), $h^{\pi}_{\psi_0,\varphi_0} \geq 0$, and according to (1.6), $s\big(h^{\pi}_{\psi_0,\varphi_0}\big)\psi_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho - \varrho^{\perp})$. By (2) one has $w, u \in \pi(M)'$, with $w^*w = p'_{\pi}(\psi_0)$, $\psi = w\psi_0$, $u^*u = p'_{\pi}(\varphi_0)$, $\varphi = u\varphi_0$ and obeying $v^{\pi}_{\psi,\varphi_0} = wv^{\pi}_{\psi_0,\varphi_0}$, $v^{\pi}_{\varphi,\psi} = uv^{\pi}_{\varphi_0,\psi}$. The partial isometry $v_{\alpha,\beta}$ of the polar decomposition of $h_{\alpha,\beta}$ has to obey $v^*_{\alpha,\beta} = v_{\beta,\alpha}$. Hence, $v^{\pi*}_{\psi,\varphi} = v^{\pi}_{\varphi,\psi} = uv^{\pi}_{\varphi_0,\psi} = uv^{\pi}_{\psi,\varphi_0} = uv^{\pi*}_{\psi_0,\varphi_0}w^*$ follows. From this together with the other properties of w, u one gets

$$v^{\pi*}_{\psi,\varphi}\psi = uv^{\pi*}_{\psi_0,\varphi_0}\psi_0\,. \tag{2.3}$$

By $h^{\pi}_{\psi_0,\varphi_0} \geq 0$ one has $v^{\pi}_{\psi_0,\varphi_0} = s\big(h^{\pi}_{\psi_0,\varphi_0}\big)$. Thus, from $u^*u = p'_{\pi}(\varphi_0) \geq s\big(h^{\pi}_{\psi_0,\varphi_0}\big)$ in view of $s\big(h^{\pi}_{\psi_0,\varphi_0}\big)\psi_0 \in \mathcal{S}_M(\varrho - \varrho^{\perp})$ and (2.3) also $v^{\pi*}_{\psi,\varphi}\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho - \varrho^{\perp})$ follows. In view of (1.6cb) and (1.5) then $s\big(|h^{\pi*}_{\psi,\varphi}|\big)\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho - \varrho^{\perp})$ is obtained. From this (3) follows. □

Proof. (of Theorem 2.3) Suppose $\eta \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho^{\perp})$ satisfies (2.2a) for some $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_M(\varrho)$, and given $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu)$. By Lemma 2.4 (2) the same premises then hold with respect to any other vector ψ in the π-fibre of ϱ. Also, by Lemma 2.4 (1), one has $p'_{\pi}(v^{\pi*}_{\psi,\varphi_0}\psi) = s\big(|h^{\pi}_{\psi,\varphi_0}|\big)$. From this and (2.2a) in view of Lemma 2.4 (3) then $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho)$ follows. By Theorem 1.11, $\varrho^{\perp} \perp \nu$. This is the same as $p_{\pi}(\eta) \perp p_{\pi}(\varphi_0)$, and thus in view of (1.6ba) and by construction of ψ_0, in accordance with (2.2b) $h^{\pi}_{\psi_0,\varphi_0} = h^{\pi}_{\psi,\varphi_0}\big((\cdot)v^{\pi*}_{\psi,\varphi_0}\big) = |h^{\pi}_{\psi,\varphi_0}| \geq 0$. By Theorem 1.5 (3) we see $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu, \varrho) = \|\psi_0 - \varphi_0\|$, for ψ_0 of (2.2b). Note that our situation with φ_0, ψ_0 and ψ can be easily adapted to a context where relation (2.3) can be used. In fact, choosing $\varphi = \varphi_0$ there, in the notation of the previous proof one has $u = p'_{\pi}(\varphi_0)$, and in view of $p'_{\pi}(v^{\pi*}_{\psi,\varphi_0}\psi) = s\big(|h^{\pi}_{\psi,\varphi_0}|\big) \leq p'_{\pi}(\varphi_0)$ (see above and (1.6bb)) the relation (2.3) yields $v^{\pi*}_{\psi,\varphi_0}\psi = v^{\pi*}_{\psi_0,\varphi_0}\psi_0$, for each other $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho)$. Hence, ψ_0 of (2.2b) does not depend on the special ψ, provided φ_0, η are held fixed.

To see that (2.2a) is necessary, let ψ_0, φ_0 obey $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu, \varrho) = \|\psi_0 - \varphi_0\|$. By Theorem 1.5 (3), $h^{\pi}_{\psi_0,\varphi_0} \geq 0$, and thus $s(h^{\pi}_{\psi_0,\varphi_0})^{\perp}\psi_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\varrho^{\perp})$, by Lemma 2.4 (3). Obviously, for $\psi = \psi_0$ the condition (2.2a) can be satisfied with $\eta = s(h^{\pi}_{\psi_0,\varphi_0})^{\perp}\psi_0$. In view of the above this completes the proof. □

2.3. **Specific applications.** In the following M will be a vN-algebra acting in standard form on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, with cyclic and separating vector $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$. The previous results then make sense with respect to the identity representation, and can be considered for each pair $\{\nu, \varrho\}$, $\nu, \varrho \in M_{*+}$ (normal positive linear forms).

2.3.1. *Modular cone and implementing vectors.* Recall a few basic facts from modular theory. Let S_{Ω}, F_{Ω}, Δ_{Ω} and J_{Ω} be the modular operations of the pair $\{M, \Omega\}$ with their usual meanings, and which are associated to the respective actions of M and M', with their respective dense standard domains of definition $\mathcal{D}\big(S_{\Omega}\big)$, $\mathcal{D}\big(F_{\Omega}\big)$ and $\mathcal{D}\big(\Delta_{\Omega}\big)$ within $\mathcal{H}$. Remind that S_{Ω} and F_{Ω} are the closures of the (usually unbounded but closable) antilinear operators which arise from the action of the hermitian conjugation $M\Omega \ni x\Omega \longmapsto x^*\Omega$ and $M'\Omega \ni y\Omega \longmapsto y^*\Omega$ on M and M', respectively. The polar decomposition of S_{Ω} reads as $S_{\Omega} = J_{\Omega}\Delta_{\Omega}^{1/2}$, where $\Delta_{\Omega} = S^*_{\Omega}S_{\Omega}$ is the modular operator, which is linear, and the modular conjugation J_{Ω}, which is antiunitary and selfadjoint, and therefore obeys $J_{\Omega}^2 = \mathbf{1}$.

An important feature is that by $M \ni x \longmapsto J_\Omega x J_\Omega \in M'$ a *-antiisomorphism between M and M' is given, $J_\Omega M J_\Omega = M'$ (Tomita's theorem). Especially, for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ one therefore has $p(\varphi)\mathcal{H} = \overline{J_\Omega M J_\Omega \varphi} = J_\Omega \overline{M\{J_\Omega\varphi\}}$. Thus, by idempotency of J_Ω and Tomita's theorem, the following intertwining relation is seen:

$$p(\varphi)J_\Omega = J_\Omega p'\bigl(J_\Omega\varphi\bigr). \tag{2.4}$$

Through the following setting a self-dual cone in $\mathcal{H}$ can be associated with $\{M, \Omega\}$:

$$\mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega = \overline{\bigl\{xJ_\Omega xJ_\Omega\Omega \,:\, x \in M\bigr\}} = \overline{\bigl\{yJ_\Omega yJ_\Omega\Omega \,:\, y \in M'\bigr\}}\,. \tag{2.5a}$$

Recall that self-duality means that the following holds:

$$\mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega = \bigl\{\psi \in \mathcal{H} : \langle\psi,\xi\rangle \geq 0,\, \forall\, \xi \in \mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega\bigr\}\,.$$

The elements of this natural positive cone are (pointwise) invariant under the action of the modular conjugation J_Ω, and it is known from modular theory that $\mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega$ (the modular cone) is generating for the real linear space $\mathcal{H}^\Omega_{\mathrm{sa}}$ of all vectors fixed under the action of the modular conjugation:

$$\mathcal{H}^\Omega_{\mathrm{sa}} = \bigl\{\psi \in \mathcal{H} : J_\Omega\psi = \psi\bigr\} = \mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega - \mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega. \tag{2.5b}$$

Remark that each vector $\psi \in \mathcal{H}^\Omega_{\mathrm{sa}}$ can be uniquely decomposed as $\psi = \xi_+ - \xi_-$, with $\xi_+, \xi_- \in \mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega$ and $\xi_+ \perp \xi_-$.

Most importantly, to each $\nu \in M_{*+}$ there is exactly one vector $\xi_\nu \in \mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega \cap \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$, and it is known since the work of [10, 14, 18] that the mapping

$$M_{*+} \ni \nu \longmapsto \xi_\nu \in \mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega \tag{2.6a}$$

is onto and obeys the estimate

$$\bigl\|\xi_\nu - \xi_\varrho\bigr\|^2 \leq \|\nu - \varrho\|_1 \leq \bigl\|\xi_\nu - \xi_\varrho\bigr\| \cdot \bigl\|\xi_\nu + \xi_\varrho\bigr\|\,, \tag{2.6b}$$

for any two $\nu, \varrho \in M_{*+}$, which shows that both cones M_{*+} and $\mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega$ are mutually homeomorphic when considered with the topologies which are induced by the respective uniform topologies of M_* and $\mathcal{H}$, respectively.

Finally, remark that in modular theory, by basic facts known from [10], to each two cyclic and separating vectors Ω, Ω' of M there is a unique $U(\Omega',\Omega) \in \mathcal{U}(M')$ such that

$$\mathcal{P}^\natural_{\Omega'} = U(\Omega',\Omega)\mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega\,. \tag{2.7a}$$

Thereby, $U(\Omega',\Omega) = \mathbf{1}$ is fulfilled if, and only if, $\Omega' \in \mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega$ holds. and the unitary $U(\Omega',\Omega)$ obeys the following chain rule:

$$U(w\Omega',\Omega) = wU(\Omega',\Omega),\ \forall\, w \in \mathcal{U}(M')\,. \tag{2.7b}$$

Example 2.5. Let $\mathsf{B}(\mathcal{H})$ act by multiplication from the left on Hilbert-Schmidt operators $\mathcal{K}$ over the separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ (call the resulting vN-algebra M). Let τ be the unique normal trace induced on M by the standard trace 'tr'. Then, since $\mathcal{K}$ is already complete under the Hilbert-Schmidt norm $\|\cdot\|_2$, which corresponds to the scalar product $\langle x, y\rangle = \operatorname{tr} y^*x$, for $x, y \in \mathcal{K}$, in terms of Example 1.7, $\mathcal{K}$ can be identified with $L^2(M,\tau) = \mathcal{L}^2(M,\tau)$, and the usual trace class operators uniquely correspond to $L^1(M,\tau) = \mathcal{L}^1(M,\tau)$, see [29]. $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}$ is cyclic and separating for M if, and only if, $s(|\Omega|) = s(|\Omega^*|) = \mathbf{1}$. In particular, each positive Hilbert-Schmidt operator with full support can be taken for Ω. Also, each two

$\nu, \varrho \in M_{*+}$ then have the form $\nu = \tau_a$ and $\varrho = \tau_c$, with unique $a, c \in L^1(M,\tau)_+$, and in sense of the above identifications the following holds with respect to each $\varOmega > \mathbf{0}$:

$$\mathcal{P}^{\natural}_{\varOmega} = \mathcal{L}^2(M,\tau)_+\,,\ \text{with } \xi_\mu = \sqrt{m} \text{ whenever } \mu = \tau_m,\ m \in L^1(M,\tau)_+\,; \tag{2.8a}$$

$$\|\xi_\nu - \xi_\varrho\|^2 = \|\nu\|_1 + \|\varrho\|_1 - 2\tau(\sqrt{a}\sqrt{c}) = \|\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{c}\,\|_2^2\,. \tag{2.8b}$$

2.3.2. *Bures distance and modular vectors.* The most interesting information arising from specializing Theorem 2.3 to the modular context will be that the hypothesis of Lemma 2.4 can be fulfilled, for each modular vector φ_0, that is, whenever $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{P}^{\natural}_{\varOmega}$ holds, for some cyclic and separating vector $\varOmega \in \mathcal{H}$ of M. In fact, if $\{M, \varOmega\}$ is a standard form algebra over $\mathcal{H}$, then the following is true:

Lemma 2.6. $\forall\, \nu, \varrho \in M_{*+}:\ p'(\xi_{\varrho^\perp}) \leq s(|h_{\xi_\varrho,\xi_\nu}|)^\perp$.

Proof. Let $\varrho^\perp$ be defined as in Theorem 1.11. Then, by $\nu \perp \varrho^\perp$ one especially has $p(\xi_{\varrho^\perp}) \leq p(\xi_\nu)^\perp$. Hence, in view of (2.5b) the intertwining relations (2.4) can be applied and show that $J_{\varOmega} p\bigl(\xi_{\varrho^\perp}\bigr) J_{\varOmega} = p'\bigl(\xi_{\varrho^\perp}\bigr)$ and $J_{\varOmega} p\bigl(\xi_\nu\bigr) J_{\varOmega} = p'(\xi_\nu)$ are fulfilled, and therefore in view of the above $p'(\xi_{\varrho^\perp}) \leq p'(\xi_\nu)^\perp$ has to hold. On the other hand, we have $s\bigl(\bigl|h_{\xi_\varrho,\xi_\nu}\bigr|\bigr) \leq p'(\xi_\nu)$, by (1.6bb). The assertion now will follow upon combining together the last two relations. ☐

In line with formula (2.2b), for given $\{M, \varOmega\}$ consider the vector-valued map

$$M_{*+} \times M_{*+} \ni \{\nu, \varrho\} \longmapsto \psi^\nu_{\varOmega}(\varrho) \in \mathcal{S}_M(\varrho) \subset \mathcal{H} \tag{2.9a}$$

which in accordance with Theorem 2.3 can be defined by

$$\psi^\nu_{\varOmega}(\varrho) = v^*_{\xi_\varrho,\xi_\nu}\xi_\varrho + \xi_{\varrho^\perp} = v^*_{\psi,\xi_\nu}\psi + \xi_{\varrho^\perp}\,,\ \forall\, \psi \in \mathcal{S}_M(\varrho)\,. \tag{2.9b}$$

In accordance with Theorem 2.3 the hypothesis of Theorem 1.9 in the modular context then may be supplemented by the following additional information:

Theorem 2.7 ([5])**.** $\forall\, \nu, \varrho \in M_{*+}:\ d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu,\varrho)\ = \bigl\|\psi^\nu_{\varOmega}(\varrho) - \xi_\nu\bigr\|$.

Much about structure and meaning of the map (2.9a) is known. In context of the following result we only mention the probably most important properties.

Lemma 2.8. *For each $\nu, \varrho \in M_{*+}$ and cyclic and separating vector $\varOmega \in \mathcal{H}$ the following properties hold*:

1. $\psi^\nu_{\varOmega}(\varrho)$ *is the unique* $\tilde\psi \in \mathcal{S}_M(\varrho)$ *with* $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu,\varrho) = \bigl\|\tilde\psi - \xi_\nu\bigr\| \iff \varrho^\perp = 0$;
2. $\psi^\nu_{\varOmega}(\varrho) = \psi^\nu_{\varOmega}(\varrho - \varrho^\perp) + \xi_{\varrho^\perp}$;
3. $\psi^\nu_{\varOmega}(\varrho) \in \mathcal{H}^{\varOmega}_{\mathrm{sa}} \Leftrightarrow \psi^\nu_{\varOmega}(\varrho) \in \mathcal{P}^{\natural}_{\varOmega} \Leftrightarrow \psi^\nu_{\varOmega}(\varrho - \varrho^\perp) \in \mathcal{P}^{\natural}_{\varOmega} \Leftrightarrow \psi^\nu_{\varOmega}(\varrho - \varrho^\perp) \in \mathcal{H}^{\varOmega}_{\mathrm{sa}}$.

Proof. In the following, we let $\psi = \psi^\nu_{\varOmega}(\varrho)$, $h = h_{\psi,\xi_\nu}$. To prove (1), note first that, for $\tilde\psi$ in the fibre of ϱ, with $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu,\varrho) = \bigl\|\tilde\psi - \xi_\nu\bigr\|$, by Theorem 1.5 (3), also $\tilde h = h_{\tilde\psi,\xi_\nu} \geq 0$. Also, for $w \in M'$ with $w^*w = p'(\psi)$ and $\tilde\psi = w\psi$ in accordance with Lemma 2.4 (2) we see that $h = \tilde h$ and $s(h) = ws(h)$ have to be fulfilled. Now, suppose $\varrho^\perp \neq 0$. Then $s(\tilde h)^\perp\tilde\psi \in \mathcal{S}_M(\varrho^\perp)$ cannot vanish. Hence, for each $t \in [0, 2\pi[$, also $\psi_t = s(\tilde h)\tilde\psi + \exp{(-\mathrm{i}t)}\, s(\tilde h)^\perp\tilde\psi \in \mathcal{S}_M(\varrho^\perp)$, with $h_{\psi_t,\xi_\nu} = h_{s(\tilde h)\tilde\psi,\xi_\nu} = \tilde h \geq 0$. Hence, $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu,\varrho) = \bigl\|\psi_t - \xi_\nu\bigr\|$ for all $t \in [0, 2\pi[$, by Theorem 1.5 (3). For $t \neq 0$ obviously $\psi_t \neq \tilde\psi$. By Theorem 2.7 this especially applies to $\tilde\psi = \psi$, and thus for $\varrho^\perp \neq 0$ nonuniqueness follows. On the other hand, in case of $\varrho^\perp = 0$, in view of the above and Lemma 2.4 (3), one has $p'(\psi) = s(h)$. The above condition on w in this case yields $p'(\psi) = s(h) = w$, and therefore $\tilde{\psi} = \psi$, that is, uniqueness holds.

To see (2), note first that $\psi = s(h)\psi + \xi_{\varrho^\perp}$ holds, by (2.9b). Let $\psi' = \psi^\nu_\Omega(\varrho - \varrho^\perp)$ and $h' = h_{s(h)\psi,\xi_\nu}$. Obviously we then have $h' = h \geq 0$. By Lemma 2.4 (3) and Lemma 1.12 (1), $s(h)\psi \in \mathcal{S}_M(\varrho - \varrho^\perp)$ and $(\varrho - \varrho^\perp)^{\perp'} = 0$ have to be fulfilled. Owing to $h' \geq 0$, $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu, \varrho - \varrho^\perp) = \big\|s(h)\psi - \xi_\nu\big\|$, by Theorem 1.5 (3). Owing to $(\varrho - \varrho^\perp)^{\perp'} = 0$, (1) can be applied to the pair $\{\nu, \varrho - \varrho^\perp\}$, and then yields $\psi' = s(h)\psi$. Substituting the latter into $\psi = s(h)\psi + \xi_{\varrho^\perp}$ then gives (2).

Note that since $\mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega$ is a (convex) cone, the first two of the '$\Longleftarrow$'-implications and the third '$\Longrightarrow$'-implication within (3), as well as the implication $\psi^\nu_\Omega(\varrho - \varrho^\perp) \in \mathcal{H}^\Omega_{\mathrm{sa}} \Rightarrow \psi^\nu_\Omega(\varrho) \in \mathcal{H}^\Omega_{\mathrm{sa}}$, follow from (2.5b) and (2). Owing to this and $\mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega \subset \mathcal{H}^\Omega_{\mathrm{sa}}$, to see the remaining other implications, it suffices to prove the implication $\psi^\nu_\Omega(\varrho) \in \mathcal{H}^\Omega_{\mathrm{sa}} \Longrightarrow \psi^\nu_\Omega(\varrho - \varrho^\perp) \in \mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega$. In line with this, assume $\psi \in \mathcal{H}^\Omega_{\mathrm{sa}}$. Formula (2) in accordance with (2.5b) then tells us that $\psi' \in \mathcal{H}^\Omega_{\mathrm{sa}}$ holds. Also, in view of Lemma 2.4 (3) and $(\varrho - \varrho^\perp)^{\perp'} = 0$, one has $p'(\psi') = s(h) \leq p'(\xi_\nu)$. Now, remind the fact mentioned on in context of (2.5b) and saying that in this case $\psi' = \eta_+ - \eta_-$ has to be fulfilled with vectors $\eta_+, \eta_- \in \mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega$, with $\eta_+ \perp \eta_-$. Let $\nu_\pm \in M_{*+}$ be the positive linear forms implemented by $\eta_\pm$. By uniqueness of the map of (2.6a) one has $\xi_{\nu_\pm} = \eta_\pm$, and by orthogonality $\eta_+ \perp \eta_-$ and since $\|\nu_\pm\|_1 = \|\eta_\pm\|^2$ is fulfilled, from estimate (2.6b) the relation $\|\nu_+ - \nu_-\|_1 = \|\nu_+\|_1 + \|\nu_-\|_1$ can be inferred. Hence, $\nu_+ \perp \nu_-$, that is $p\big(\eta_+\big) \perp p\big(\eta_-\big)$ holds. With the help of (2.5b) and (2.4) then also $p'\big(\eta_+\big) \perp p'\big(\eta_-\big)$. Hence, $\varrho - \varrho^\perp = \nu_+ + \nu_-$. The latter implies $p\big(\eta_\pm\big) \leq p(\psi')$. Since by assumption $J_\Omega\psi' = \psi'$ holds, in reasoning with (2.4) once more again from this in view of the above $p'\big(\eta_\pm\big) \leq p'\big(\psi'\big) = s(h) \leq p'\big(\xi_\nu\big)$ can be seen. But then especially $p'\big(\eta_-\big)\psi' = -\eta_-$, from which $h_{\psi',\xi_\nu}\big(p'\big(\eta_-\big)\big) = -\langle\eta_-, \xi\rangle$ has to be followed. Now, by Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 1.5 (3), when applied to the pair $\{\nu, \varrho - \varrho^\perp\}$, positivity of h_{ψ',ξ_ν} can be seen. In line with the previously derived then especially $-\langle\eta_-, \xi_\nu\rangle \geq 0$ has to hold, for $\eta_-, \xi_\nu \in \mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega$. Since the scalar product between vectors of the natural positive cone has to be always a nonnegative real, $\langle\eta_-, \xi_\nu\rangle = 0$ is inferred to hold. As argued above, orthogonality among vectors of the natural positive cone then implies orthogonality of the associated p- and p'-projections, respectively. Thus especially $p'\big(\eta_-\big) \perp p'\big(\xi_\nu\big)$. This in view of $p'\big(\eta_-\big) \leq p'\big(\xi_\nu\big)$ implies $p'\big(\eta_-\big) = \mathbf{0}$. Hence $\eta_- = 0$, and thus $\psi' \in \mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega$ holds, and which is (3). $\square$

Without proof mention some additional facts about (2.9b), see [2, 3, 4, 5].

Lemma 2.9. *Let Ω be a cyclic and separating vector of M. Let $\nu, \varrho \in M_{*+}$, and let $f \in M_*$ be defined by $f = \big\langle(\cdot)|_M \psi^\nu_\Omega(\varrho), \xi_\nu\big\rangle$, with $\psi^\nu_\Omega(\varrho)$ of* (2.9b)*. The following properties hold*:

1. *$\psi^\nu_\Omega(\varrho)$ is continuous at each $\{\nu, \varrho\}$ with $\varrho^\perp = 0$;*
2. $\psi^\nu_\Omega(\varrho) \in \mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega \iff f = f^* \iff f \geq 0\,.$

The normal linear form f defined in the premises of the previous Lemma is a quite interesting structure. First of all, by definition of f and according to Theorem 2.7, Theorem 1.5 (3) and Lemma 1.4 one has

$$f(\mathbf{1}) = \big\langle\psi^\nu_\Omega(\varrho), \xi_\nu\big\rangle = h_{\psi^\nu_\Omega(\varrho),\xi_\nu}(\mathbf{1}) = \sqrt{P_M(\nu, \varrho)}\,. \tag{2.10a}$$

Secondly, since orthogonality of $\varrho^\perp$ to ν also implies $p'(\xi_{\varrho^\perp})\perp p'(\xi_\nu)$, from (2.9b) especially also follows that f can be rewritten as following:

(2.10b)

$$f=\bigl\langle(\cdot)|_M\psi_\Omega^\nu(\varrho),\xi_\nu\bigr\rangle=\bigl\langle(\cdot)|_M v^*_{\xi_\varrho,\xi_\nu}\xi_\varrho,\xi_\nu\bigr\rangle=\bigl\langle(\cdot)|_M v^*_{\xi_\varrho,\xi_\nu}\xi_\varrho,s(|h^*_{\xi_\nu,\xi_\varrho}|)\xi_\nu\bigr\rangle\,,$$

where also (1.6bb) and the relation $h^*_{\xi_\nu,\xi_\varrho}=h_{\xi_\varrho,\xi_\nu}$ have been taken into account. Note that according to Lemma 2.4 (3), $v^*_{\xi_\varrho,\xi_\nu}\xi_\varrho\in\mathcal{S}_M(\varrho-\varrho^\perp)$ and $s(|h^*_{\xi_\nu,\xi_\varrho}|)\xi_\nu\in\mathcal{S}_M(\nu-\nu^\perp)$ hold. But then, (2.10a) and (2.10b) prove that f obviously obeys the conditions (1) and (2) in Theorem 1.15. Thus, by Theorem 1.15, $g=f$ is identified.

On the other hand, by an analogous line of reasoning, $f'=\bigl\langle(\cdot)|_M\xi_\varrho,\psi_\Omega^\varrho(\nu)\bigr\rangle$ is obeying conditions Theorem 1.15 (1)–(2). Hence, by Theorem 1.15 again, $g=f'$. We may summarize this as follows.

Lemma 2.10. *For $\nu,\varrho\in M_{*+}$, the unique $g\in M^*$ given by* Theorem 1.15 *is*

$$g=f=\bigl\langle(\cdot)|_M\psi_\Omega^\nu(\varrho),\xi_\nu\bigr\rangle=\bigl\langle(\cdot)|_M\xi_\varrho,\psi_\Omega^\varrho(\nu)\bigr\rangle\,.$$

In particular, from this follows that f for a given pair $\{\nu,\varrho\}$ is uniquely determined by the associated minimal pair, see Definition 1.13/Remark 1.14.

2.3.3. *Bures distance and commutation of states.* Those pairs $\{\nu,\varrho\}$ of normal positive linear forms and fulfilling $\psi_\Omega^\nu(\varrho)\in\mathcal{P}_\Omega^\natural$ deserve special interest.

Definition 2.11. Suppose $\nu,\varrho\in M_{*+}$, and be $\Omega\in\mathcal{H}$ a cyclic and separating vector. ϱ is said to *commute* with ν if $\psi_\Omega^\nu(\varrho)=\xi_\varrho$ is fulfilled.

We are going to show that commutation is a symmetric relation.

Lemma 2.12. *For each $\nu,\varrho\in M_{*+}$ the following are equivalent*:

1. *ϱ commutes with ν ;*
2. *ν commutes with ϱ .*

Proof. In view of the symmetry of the assertion, we may content ourselves with proving e.g. that (1) implies (2). Suppose (1). In line with this and Definition 2.11, $\psi_\Omega^\nu(\varrho)=\xi_\varrho$. By Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 1.5 (3) we then have $h=h_{\xi_\varrho,\xi_\nu}=h_{\xi_\nu,\xi_\varrho}\geq 0$. From this with the help of Tomita's theorem and owing to (2.5b) for each $x\in M$, and corresponding $y\in M'$ with $y=J_\Omega xJ_\Omega$, we infer that $f(x^*x)=\bigl\langle x^*xJ_\Omega\psi_\Omega^\nu(\varrho),J_\Omega\xi_\nu\bigr\rangle=\bigl\langle\xi_\nu,J_\Omega x^*xJ_\Omega\psi_\Omega^\nu(\varrho)\bigr\rangle=\bigl\langle y^*y\xi_\nu,\xi_\varrho\bigr\rangle=h(y^*y)\geq 0$. According to Lemma 2.10 we then also have $\bigl\langle(\cdot)|_M\xi_\varrho,\psi_\Omega^\varrho(\nu)\bigr\rangle=f\geq 0$. This is the same as $\bigl\langle(\cdot)|_M\psi_\Omega^\varrho(\nu),\xi_\varrho\bigr\rangle\geq 0$. The conclusion of Lemma 2.9 (2) when applied to $\{\varrho,\nu\}$ then yields $\psi_\Omega^\varrho(\nu)=\xi_\nu$, that is, also ν commutes with ϱ. ☐

By Theorem 2.7, in case of commutation the estimate $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu,\varrho)\leq\|\xi_\nu-\xi_\varrho\|$ turns into an equality. The conjecture is that the latter behavior is equivalent to commutation between ν and ϱ, generally (though this is plausible it is *not* an obvious matter). For those pairs $\{\nu,\varrho\}$ on a general M however, which will be of interest throughout the rest of the paper, the conjecture can be justified easily. This will be done now (see also [3, § 6, Theorem 6.15] for the case of minimal pairs).

Lemma 2.13. *Assume $\nu,\varrho\in M_{*+}$ with either $\nu^\perp=\mathbf{0}$ or $\varrho^\perp=\mathbf{0}$. The following are equivalent*:

1. *ϱ commutes with ν ;*
2. *$d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu,\varrho)=\|\xi_\nu-\xi_\varrho\|$.*

Proof. The implications (1) $\implies$ (2) follows at once from Definition 2.11 and Theorem 2.7. Suppose (2) to be fulfilled, and be $\varrho^\perp = \mathbf{0}$. Then, by Theorem 2.7 and Lemma 2.8 (1), $\psi^\nu_\Omega(\varrho) = \xi_\varrho$, and (1) is seen. In case of $\nu^\perp = \mathbf{0}$ the same reasoning by Theorem 2.7 and Lemma 2.8 (1) for the pair $\{\varrho, \nu\}$ will yield $\psi^\varrho_\Omega(\nu) = \xi_\nu$, which in view of Lemma 2.12 amounts to (1) again. ☐

On the other hand, in important special cases of M, like matrix algebras and, more generally, vN-algebras of type I, the conjecture can be shown easily, for each pair of normal positive linear forms. For the type-I_∞-factor a proof will be given.

Example 2.14. Suppose $M \simeq \mathsf{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and τ as in Example 2.5, and suppose $\Omega > \mathbf{0}$. Let $\nu = \tau_a$, $\varrho = \tau_c$, with positive trace class operators a and c. Suppose ϱ commutes with ν. By (1.1), (2.8b), (1.4a) and Theorem 2.7, Definition 2.11 then amounts to

$$\tau(\sqrt{a}\sqrt{c}) = \sqrt{P_M(\nu, \varrho)} = \tau(|\sqrt{a}\sqrt{c}|)\,.$$

Since τ is faithful, by (1.4b) the previous is equivalent to commutation $ac = ca$.

On the other hand, suppose $\nu = \tau_a$, $\varrho = \tau_c$, with positive trace class operators a and c which are commuting, in the sense of operator theory. Then, since M' corresponds to right actions of bounded linear operators on Hilbert-Schmidt operators, in view of (2.8b) we have

$$(\star) \qquad h(\cdot) = h_{\xi_\varrho, \xi_\nu}(\cdot) = \tau(\sqrt{a}\sqrt{c}(\cdot)) \geq 0\,.$$

It follows that $s(h)$ corresponds to right multiplication with $s(\sqrt{a}\sqrt{c}) = s(a)s(c)$ (remind that for commuting a, c also $s(a)$ and $s(c)$ must commute). In accordance with (1.6) we then have that $\eta = s(h)^\perp \xi_\varrho = \sqrt{c}\,\{s(a)s(c)\}^\perp \geq \mathbf{0}$ must be implementing for $\varrho^\perp$. In view of (2.8a) we then even have $\xi_{\varrho^\perp} = \sqrt{c}\,\{s(a)s(c)\}^\perp$. But then, the formula (2.9b) in view of ($\star$) reads as

$$\psi^\nu_\Omega(\varrho) = s(h)\xi_\varrho + \xi_{\varrho^\perp} = \sqrt{c}\, s(a)s(c) + \sqrt{c}\,\{s(a)s(c)\}^\perp = \sqrt{c} = \xi_\varrho\,,$$

that is, ϱ commutes with ν in the sense of Definition 2.11. Hence, $ac = ca$ is equivalent to commutation of ϱ with ν. Since according to (2.8b), (1.4b) and as remarked above $ac = ca$ is also equivalent to $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu, \varrho) = \|\xi_\nu - \xi_\varrho\|$, and since by Lemma 2.12 commutation is symmetric in ν and ϱ, we may summarize as follows: *For $M \simeq \mathsf{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and normal positive linear forms $\nu = \tau_a$ and $\varrho = \tau_c$, commutation of ν with ϱ (resp. ϱ with ν) in the sense of Definition 2.11 is equivalent to commutation of the operator densities a and c, and both are also equivalent to the occurence of the relation $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu, \varrho) = \|\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{c}\,\|_2 = \|\xi_\nu - \xi_\varrho\|$.*

Finally, note that owing to eqs. (2.7) in the case at hand we must have equivalence of commutation to $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu, \varrho) = \|\xi_\nu - \xi_\varrho\|$, for *each* cyclic and separating Ω (and not just for only those with $\Omega > \mathbf{0}$). This especially proves that the assertion of Lemma 2.13 remains true, for *each* pair $\{\nu, \varrho\}$ of normal positive linear forms on a factor M of type I_∞ (finite factors were dealt with in [3]).

Without proof mention yet some generalities on ‘commutation’, see [2, 3, 4, 5].

Remark 2.15.
1. Following [3, p. 325], in a C^*-algebraic context ‘commutation’ might be defined by requiring $g \geq 0$, for g defined in Theorem 1.15. In line with Lemma 2.9 (2) and Lemma 2.10, in the standard form case this then amounts to Definition 2.11.
2. Note that the notion of commutation also does not depend on the special Ω used (cf. (2.7a) for the reasons of this covariance, see [10]). It extends

to arbitrary normal positive linear forms the notion of 'commutation' in the faithful case, and which refers to commutation of the respective modular *-automorphism groups, see [20, 25, 31].

3. According to Lemma 2.12 and Lemma 2.8 (3) one infers that commutation must be equivalent to commutation between the positive linear forms of the associated minimal pair. Note that from Lemma 2.8 (2) it follows that both $\varrho = (\varrho - \varrho^{\perp}) + \varrho^{\perp}$ and $\nu = (\nu - \nu^{\perp}) + \nu^{\perp}$ have to be orthogonal decompositions, in this situation.
4. By standard facts originating from [10] it is not hard to see that, for given $\nu, \varrho \in M_{*+}$, $j(\nu|\varrho) = j^{\Omega}(\nu|\varrho) = \frac{1}{2}\|J_{\Omega}\psi^{\varrho}_{\Omega}(\nu) - \psi^{\varrho}_{\Omega}(\nu)\|^2$ proves to be independent from the special choice of the cyclic and separating vector Ω. But then, in view of (2.5b), Lemma 2.8 (3) and Lemma 2.12, $j(\nu|\varrho) = 0$ (resp. $j(\varrho|\nu) = 0$) if, and only if, ν commutes with ϱ. Thus, $j(\nu|\varrho)$ has some invariant meaning which in view of the definition of the above notion of commutation might serve as a quantitative measure estimating how far from 'commuting' with ϱ the form ν is.

2.3.4. *A characterization of* $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho)$. We are going to characterize $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho)$ for a standard form vN-algebra in terms of either extension properties of partial isometries of M, or by comparison properties of certain characteristic orthoprojections in respect of the Murray-von Neumann comparability relation '$\succ$', respectively.

Theorem 2.16. *Let* $\nu, \varrho \in M_{*+}$, *and be* $\psi = \psi^{\nu}_{\Omega}(\varrho)$, $h = h_{\psi^{\nu}_{\Omega}(\varrho), \xi_\nu}$. *Then,* $h \geq 0$, *and for* $u \in M'$ *with* $u^*u = p'(\xi_\nu)$ *the following conditions are mutually equivalent*:

1. $u\xi_\nu \in \mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho)$;
2. $\exists\, w \in M'$, $w^*w = p'(\psi)$: $u\, s(h) = w\, s(h)$;
3. $us(h)u^{*\perp} \succ p'(\psi) - s(h)$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 1.5 (3) one has $h \geq 0$. Thus $v_{\psi,\xi_\nu} = s(h)$. By the last part of Theorem 2.3 and (2.2b) we then see $\psi = s(h)\psi + \xi_{\varrho^{\perp}}$. Hence $s(h)^{\perp}\psi = \xi_{\varrho^{\perp}}$. Also, since $|h^*_{\xi_\nu,\psi}| = |h_{\psi,\xi_\nu}| = |h| = h$ holds, Lemma 2.4 (3) may be applied with respect to $\nu^{\perp}$ and then yields $s(h)^{\perp}\xi_\nu \in \mathcal{S}_M(\nu^{\perp})$. Note that in accordance with Theorem 1.11 especially also $\nu^{\perp} \perp \varrho^{\perp}$ must be fulfilled. This condition is equivalent to $\langle xs(h)^{\perp}\psi, ys(h)^{\perp}\xi_\nu\rangle = 0$, for all $x, y \in M'$. Now, let $u, w \in M'$ be chosen in accordance with (2). By the previous $s(h)^{\perp}\psi \perp s(h)^{\perp}\xi_\nu$ and $ws(h)^{\perp}\psi \perp us(h)^{\perp}\xi_\nu$ have to be fulfilled. Also, owing to $w^*w \geq s(h)$ and $u^*u \geq s(h)$ and by (2) the following orthogonality relations can be followed: $ws(h)\{\psi - \xi_\nu\} \perp ws(h)^{\perp}\psi$ and $ws(h)\{\psi - \xi_\nu\} (= us(h)\{\psi - \xi_\nu\}) \perp us(h)^{\perp}\xi_\nu$. Hence, both $\{s(h)\{\psi - \xi_\nu\}, s(h)^{\perp}\psi, s(h)^{\perp}\xi_\nu\}$ and $\{ws(h)\{\psi - \xi_\nu\}, ws(h)^{\perp}\psi, us(h)^{\perp}\xi_\nu\}$ are orthogonal systems of vectors, and therefore we may conclude as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w\psi - u\xi_\nu\|^2 &= \|ws(h)\{\psi - \xi_\nu\} + ws(h)^{\perp}\psi - us(h)^{\perp}\xi_\nu\|^2 \\
&= \|ws(h)\{\psi - \xi_\nu\}\|^2 + \|ws(h)^{\perp}\psi\|^2 + \|us(h)^{\perp}\xi_\nu\|^2 \\
&\leq \|s(h)\{\psi - \xi_\nu\}\|^2 + \|s(h)^{\perp}\psi\|^2 + \|s(h)^{\perp}\xi_\nu\|^2 \\
&= \|s(h)\{\psi - \xi_\nu\} + s(h)^{\perp}\psi - s(h)^{\perp}\xi_\nu\|^2 \\
&= \|\psi - \xi_\nu\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, in view of Theorem 2.7 $\|w\psi - u\xi_\nu\| \leq d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu, \varrho)$ must be fulfilled. Since $w\psi \in \mathcal{S}_M(\varrho)$ and $u\xi_\nu \in \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$ hold, from this by Theorem 1.5 equality is inferred, $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu, \varrho) = \|w\psi - u\xi_\nu\|$. That is, (1) has to be true.

Suppose (1) is fulfilled. By definition of $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho)$ and in view of (1.1) there has to exist $w \in M'$ with $w^*w = p'(\psi)$ such that $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu,\varrho) = \|w\psi - u\xi_\nu\|$ holds. In view of Theorem 1.5 (3) then $h_{w\psi,u\xi_\nu} \geq 0$. Hence, $h(u^*(\cdot)w) \geq 0$, on M'. From $h \geq 0$ with $s(h) \leq p'(\xi_\nu)$ we then infer that $h_{w\psi,u\xi_\nu} = h(u^*(\cdot)w) = h(u^*(\cdot)wu^*u) \geq 0$. Let $q \in M'$ be the orthoprojection $q = us(h)u^*$. Then $qu = us(h)$, and thus the previous formula reads as $h_{w\psi,u\xi_\nu} = h(u^*(\cdot)(wu^*q)u) = g((\cdot)wu^*q) \geq 0$, with $g = h(u^*(\cdot)u) \geq 0$. Note that $s(g) = q$, and $quw^*wu^*q = us(h)p'(\psi)s(h)u^* = us(h)u^* = q$. Thus wu^*q is a partial isometry in M' with initial projection $s(g)$ and obeying $g((\cdot)wu^*q) \geq 0$. By uniqueness of the polar decomposition then $wu^*q = s(g) = q$ follows. By definition of q from this $ws(h) = wu^*qu = qu = us(h)$ follows, which is the condition in (2). Thus, in view of the above (1) is equivalent to (2).

Note that (3) is equivalent to $v^*v = p'(\psi) - s(h)$ and $vv^* \leq us(h)u^{*\perp}$, for some $v \in M'$. This is the same as requiring $v^*v = p'(\psi) - s(h)$ with $v^*us(h) = \mathbf{0}$. In defining $w = us(h) + v$ we then have $w^*w = s(h)u^*us(h) + p'(\psi) - s(h) = s(h) + p'(\psi) - s(h) = p'(\psi)$, with $ws(h) = us(h)$. On the other hand, for each w with $w^*w = p'(\psi)$ and $ws(h) = us(h)$ one has that $w\{p'(\psi) - s(h)\}w^* \perp us(h)u^*$. Hence, $v = w\{p'(\psi) - s(h)\}$ is a partial isometry, with $v^*v = p'(\psi) - s(h)$ and $vv^* \leq us(h)u^{*\perp}$. Thus (3) is equivalent to (2). □

Remark 2.17. Suppose M is a unital C^*-algebra, and π is a unital *-representation such that the π-fibres of given two positive linear forms ν, ϱ exist. Then, due to the general character of Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, and since owing to Theorem 1.9 in the π-fibre of ν a vector φ_0 and corresponding η and associated ψ_0 obeying eqs. (2.2) exist, Theorem 2.16 can be seen as a special case of a (less specific) result over unital C^*-algebras and characterizing $\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu|\varrho)$ in terms of either extension properties of partial isometries of $\pi(M)'$, or by comparison properties of certain orthoprojections within the vN-algebra $\pi(M)''$, respectively. It is obvious from the previous proof how this more academic variant should read then (with φ_0, η and ψ_0 instead of $\xi_\nu, \xi_{\varrho^\perp}$ and ψ).

2.3.5. *Modular vectors and the structure of* $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho)$. Start with some generalities about $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$ and $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho)$, and which have to be fulfilled, for each $\nu \in M_{*+}$ and each pair $\{\nu, \varrho\} \subset M_{*+}$, respectively.

Note that according to eqs. (2.7) and with respect to a (particular) given standard form action $\{M, \Omega\}$ the following has to be fulfilled :

$$\mathcal{U}(M')\xi_\nu = \mathcal{S}_M(\nu) \cap \left\{\cup_{\Omega'}\mathcal{P}^\natural_{\Omega'}\right\}. \tag{2.11}$$

Thereby, Ω' may extend over the cyclic and separating vectors of M within $\mathcal{H}$. Due to (2.11) we will refer to $\mathcal{U}(M')\xi_\nu$ as set of *modular vectors* of $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$. First of all, what will be done is to relate $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$ and $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho)$ topologically to the subset of modular vectors of the fibre of ν.

It is plain to see that Theorem 2.16 (2) can be satisfied by each partial isometry $u \in M'$ which can be obtained from an isometry $v \in M'$ as $u = vp'(\xi_\nu)$. In fact, owing to $v^*v = \mathbf{1}$ and $s(h) \leq p'(\psi) \wedge p'(\xi_\nu)$, $w = vp'(\psi)$ can be taken there. In view of Theorem 2.16, and since unitaries are special isometries, we thus have the following net of inclusions to hold, in each case of $\nu, \varrho \in M_{*+}$:

$$\mathcal{U}(M')\xi_\nu \subset \left\{w\xi_\nu : w^*w = \mathbf{1},\, w \in M'\right\} \subset \mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) \subset \mathcal{S}_M(\nu). \tag{2.12}$$

In case of finite M' (which occurs iff M is finite) equality has to occur throughout, since then the whole fibre is made of modular vectors, see Remark 2.2. On the other hand, in case of properly infinite M' by standard facts it is known that the strong (operator) closure of the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(M')$ is the set of isometries of M'. But then, since a general nonfinite M' can be (centrally) decomposed into two parts which are finite and properly infinite, respectively, the above facts relating the finite and properly infinite case of M' and (2.12) fit together into the following result ($\overline{}^{\,\mathrm{st}}$ indicates the closure with respect to the strong operator topology on M) :

$$\mathcal{U}(M')\xi_\nu \subset \big\{w\xi_\nu : w^*w = \mathbf{1},\, w \in M'\big\} = \overline{\mathcal{U}(M')}^{\,\mathrm{st}}\xi_\nu \subset \mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho)\,. \tag{2.13a}$$

On the other hand, from (2.12) and by closedness of the fibre we also infer

$$\overline{\mathcal{U}(M')}^{\,\mathrm{st}}\xi_\nu \subset \overline{\mathcal{U}(M')\xi_\nu} \subset \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)\,. \tag{2.13b}$$

Finally, for completeness, note that also the fibre itself is related to the modular vectors. Let, for a subset of vectors $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{H}$ and real $r > 0$, $\Gamma[r]$ be defined by $\Gamma[r] = \{\varphi \in \Gamma : \|\varphi\| = r\}$. Then, the formula for $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$ reads as follows ('conv' stands for the operation of taking the convex hull) :

$$\mathcal{S}_M(\nu) = \overline{\operatorname{conv}\mathcal{U}(M')\xi_\nu}\left[\sqrt{\|\nu\|_1}\right]\,. \tag{2.13c}$$

In fact, according to [17], especially $\big(M'\big)_1 = \overline{\operatorname{conv}\mathcal{U}(M')}$ (uniform closure) must be fulfilled. Hence, in view of (1.5), $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu) \subset \overline{\operatorname{conv}\mathcal{U}(M')}\,\xi_\nu \subset \overline{\operatorname{conv}\mathcal{U}(M')\xi_\nu}$ can be followed. On the other hand, suppose $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$, with $\|\varphi\|^2 = \|\nu\|_1 = \nu(\mathbf{1})$ and $\varphi = \lim_{n\to\infty}\varphi_n$, with a sequence $\{\varphi_n\} \subset \operatorname{conv}\mathcal{U}(M')\xi_\nu$. Let us define $\nu_n \in M_{*+}$ by the condition that $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{S}_M(\nu_n)$. Then, by the previous especially $\varphi_n = a_n\xi_\nu$, with $a_n \in \big(M'\big)_1$, and thus $\nu_n \leq \nu$, for each subscript. But then $\|\nu - \nu_n\|_1 = \nu(\mathbf{1}) - \nu_n(\mathbf{1}) = \|\varphi\|^2 - \|\varphi_n\|^2$. In view of the above $\nu = \lim_{n\to\infty}\nu_n$ follows, in the uniform sense. Hence, $\overline{\operatorname{conv}\mathcal{U}(M')\xi_\nu}[\sqrt{\|\nu\|_1}] \subset \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$ holds. Taking together the previous inclusions yields (2.13c).

Note that if ν is faithful, that is, $\mathbf{1} = s(\nu) = p(\xi_\nu)$ is fulfilled, then owing to (2.4) one also has $p'(\xi_\nu) = \mathbf{1}$, and then in view of (1.5), (2.12) and eqs. (2.13) we infer

$$\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) = \overline{\mathcal{U}(M')}^{\,\mathrm{st}}\xi_\nu = \overline{\mathcal{U}(M')\xi_\nu} = \overline{\operatorname{conv}\mathcal{U}(M')\xi_\nu}\left[\sqrt{\|\nu\|_1}\right] = \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)\,, \tag{2.14}$$

for each $\varrho \in M_{*+}$. Thus, from point of view of Problem 2.1 (4) those pairs with faithful ν will not be of any interest.

In contrast to the previous, the following observation likely yields the most important class of pairs $\{\nu, \varrho\}$ where an easy to handle formula for $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho)$ can be derived, and which is nontrivial insofar as (2.14) then need not be true.
To explain the formula, suppose in the notations of Theorem 2.16, with $\psi = \psi^\nu_\Omega(\varrho)$ and $h = h_{\psi^\nu_\Omega(\varrho),\xi_\nu}$, for given $\{\nu, \varrho\}$ the conditions

$$p'(\psi) = \mathbf{1},\ s(h) = p'(\xi_\nu) \tag{$\circ$}$$

to be fulfilled. Then, by Theorem 2.16 (1)–(2), for given $u \in M'$ with $u^*u = p'(\xi_\nu)$ and $u\xi_\nu \in \mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho)$ we find $w \in M'$ with $w^*w = \mathbf{1}$ such that $u = up'(\xi_\nu) = us(h) = ws(h) = wp'(\xi_\nu)$. Hence, $u\xi_\nu = wp'(\xi_\nu)\xi_\nu = w\xi_\nu$. Thus, in this case $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) \subset \{w\xi_\nu : w^*w = \mathbf{1},\, w \in M'\}$, and then in view of (2.13a) the formula

$$\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) = \big\{w\xi_\nu : w^*w = \mathbf{1},\, w \in M'\big\} = \overline{\mathcal{U}(M')}^{\,\mathrm{st}}\xi_\nu \tag{2.15a}$$

can be followed. In view of (2.13b) the closure of the latter set then reads as

$$\overline{\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho)} = \overline{\mathcal{U}(M')\xi_\nu}\,. \tag{2.15b}$$

Example 2.18. The easiest way to cope with the premises of ($\circ$) is to assume that ϱ is faithful, and that ν is commuting with ϱ.

In fact, for faithful ϱ, by Theorem 1.11 $\nu^\perp = 0$ with respect to ϱ, for each $\nu \in M_{*+}$. Remind that $h \geq 0$, by Theorem 2.16. Hence, $\{p'(\xi_\nu) - s(h)\}\xi_\nu = s(h)^\perp \xi_\nu = 0$, by Lemma 2.4 (3). Thus $p'(\xi_\nu) = s(h)$. By assumption and Lemma 2.12, $\psi = \xi_\varrho$. Hence, in view of (2.4) and (2.5b), faithfulness of ϱ, which means that ψ is separating, implies the modular vector ψ to be also cyclic, $p'(\psi) = \mathbf{1}$. Thus, the conditions of ($\circ$) are satisfied and, as mentioned above, both formulae of eqs. (2.15) then must be fulfilled.

Remark 2.19. It is easy to see that (2.15a) is a special case of the formula

$$\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) = \{w\xi_\nu : w^*w = p'(\psi),\, w \in M'\} \tag{2.16}$$

which holds provided $\nu^\perp = 0$ is fulfilled. But note that in general it is difficult to say something definite about $p'(\psi)$ without having some additional informations on the pair $\{\nu, \varrho\}$ and which go beyond $\nu^\perp = 0$. Even for a faithful ϱ, which implies $\nu^\perp = 0$ e.g., in general only $p'(\psi) \sim \mathbf{1}$ can be followed. Note that from (2.15a) especially follows that under the premises of Example 2.18 the modular vectors in the fibre of ν are dense within $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho)$.

2.3.6. *When does $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho)$ provide the whole fibre?* We continue with conditions under which Problem 2.1 (3) can be affirmatively answered, for a pair $\nu, \varrho \in M_{*+}$, and which will lead us far beyond the finite case or the situation known from (2.14).

Lemma 2.20. *Let $\varrho^\perp = 0$, or be $s(\nu)$ finite. Then $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) = \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$.*

Proof. Suppose $\varrho^\perp = 0$ first. By Lemma 2.4 (3) then $\{p'(\psi) - s(h)\}\psi = s(h)^\perp \psi = 0$. Hence $p'(\psi) = s(h)$, and thus the condition of Theorem 2.16 (3) can be fulfilled in a trivial way, for each $u \in M'$, $u^*u = p'(\xi_\nu)$. In view of (1.5) the fact then follows.

If the support orthoprojection $s(\nu) = p(\xi_\nu)$ is finite, then an application of (2.4) yields that also $p'(\xi_\nu)$ is finite (and vice versa), and so has to be also each other $p'(\chi)$, for $\chi \in \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$. Let $\chi = u\xi_\nu$, $u^*u = p'(\xi_\nu)$, with $u \in M'$. Since also $z = p'(\xi_\nu) \vee p'(\chi)$ is finite, by [28, 2.4.2.] $u \in zM'z$ extends to a unitary $u_1 \in zM'z$. The partial isometry $w = (u_1 + z^\perp)p'(\psi)$ then obeys $us(h) = ws(h)$ and $w^*w = p'(\psi)$, and thus Theorem 2.16 can be applied. ☐

Remark 2.21. Under the same premises on π as in Remark 2.19, there must be a C^*-variant of that part of Lemma 2.20 which refers to the assumption $\varrho^\perp = 0$, accordingly. This together with (1.7) will show that for each such π by the condition $\varrho^\perp = 0$ then $\mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu|\varrho) = \mathcal{S}_{\pi,M}(\nu)$ will be implied.

Another observation provides conditions under which existence of cyclic elements in a fibre allows us to decide on whether or not $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) = \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$ would hold.

Lemma 2.22. *Suppose $\nu, \varrho \in M_{*+}$. The following assertions are valid.*

1. *Suppose $\varrho^\perp \neq 0$ and $\nu^\perp = 0$. If a cyclic vector χ in the fibre of ν exists, then each such vector obeys $\chi \notin \mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho)$. Hence then $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) \neq \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$.*
2. *In the factor case of M, if $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) \neq \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$ holds, then $\varrho^\perp \neq 0$ and there exists a cyclic vector χ in the fibre of ν.*

Proof. According to Lemma 2.4 (3) and by positivity of h the assumptions on $\varrho^\perp$ and $\nu^\perp$ equivalently read as $\{p'(\psi) - s(h)\}\psi = s(h)^\perp \psi \neq 0$ and $\{p'(\xi_\nu) - s(h)\}\xi_\nu =$

$s(h)^{\perp}\xi_\nu = 0$. Thus $p'(\psi) > s(h)$ and $p'(\xi_\nu) = s(h)$. Suppose a cyclic χ in the fibre of ν to exist. Then, in view of (1.5) there is $u \in M'$ with $u^*u = p'(\xi_\nu)$ and $\chi = u\xi_\nu$. Thus especially $uu^* = p'(\chi) = \mathbf{1}$ and $p'(\psi) > s(h)$. It is obvious that Theorem 2.16 (3) cannot be satisfied by u. Thus $\chi = u\xi_\nu \notin \mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho)$, which is (1).

To see (2), suppose now M to be a factor, and $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) \neq \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$. By Lemma 2.20 we then have $\varrho^{\perp} \neq 0$ and infinite $s(\nu)$. Remind that, M being a standard form vN-algebra, M admits faithful normal positive linear forms. Hence M is a countably decomposable factor. Thus there can exist only one equivalence class of infinite orthoprojections in M, and therefore $p(\xi_\nu) = s(\nu) \sim \mathbf{1}$ must hold. From the latter in view of (2.4) and (2.5b) the relation $p'(\xi_\nu) \sim \mathbf{1}$ follows. Thus, a cyclic vector has to exist in $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$, and $\varrho^{\perp} \neq 0$ by the above. □

Since in the factor case and with faithful ϱ the condition $\nu^{\perp} = 0$ is fulfilled for any $\nu \in M_{*+}$, in this case Lemma 2.22 (1) and (2) then provide sufficient and necessary conditions for $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) \neq \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$ to occur, or equivalently, for Problem 2.1 (3) to have an affirmative answer. Thus, in this case also the opposite direction of the implication in Lemma 2.20 holds true.

Theorem 2.23. *Let M be a factor which acts in standard form. For $\nu, \varrho \in M_{*+}$ with faithful ϱ, $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) = \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$ holds if, and only if, $\varrho^{\perp} = 0$ or $s(\nu)$ is finite.*

Proof. As mentioned, under the given premises $\nu^{\perp} = 0$. Thus Lemma 2.22 equivalently says that $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) = \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$ holds iff $\varrho^{\perp} = 0$ or $p'(\xi_\nu) \not\sim \mathbf{1}$. The latter is equivalent to $s(\nu) = p(\xi_\nu) \not\sim \mathbf{1}$, by (2.4) and (2.5b). Since M is a countably decomposable factor, this is the same as asserting $s(\nu)$ to be finite. □

Remark 2.24. For finite dimensional or commutative M a test on $\varrho^{\perp} \neq 0$ can be achieved easily. In fact, in all these cases $\varrho^{\perp} \neq 0$ proves equivalent to the condition $s(\varrho) \wedge s(\nu)^{\perp} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Thus, in these cases for the question on $\varrho^{\perp} \neq 0$ only the mutual position of the respective support orthoprojections within the projection lattice of the algebra matters, refer to [19] for that.

Unfortunately, in the infinite dimensional, noncommutative cases of M, the condition $s(\varrho) \wedge s(\nu)^{\perp} \neq \mathbf{0}$ in general does not suffice any longer to imply $\varrho^{\perp} \neq 0$. For convenience of the reader we include a counterexample. Thereby, in view of (1.7) for the effect to appear it cannot be of relevance whether M acts in standard form or not, and thus for simplicity e.g. the algebra $M = \mathsf{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of all bounded linear operators over some separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space will be considered.

Example 2.25. Let $\{\varphi_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a complete orthonormal system of vectors within $\mathcal{H}$, and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ be a unit vector with $\langle \psi, \varphi_k \rangle \neq 0$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let pure normal states ν_k be defined as $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{S}_M(\nu_k)$, and for $0 < \beta < 1$ define $\varrho \in M_{*+}$ by $\varrho = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \beta^k |\langle \psi, \varphi_k \rangle|^2 \nu_k$. Let $\nu \in M_{*+}$ be any normal positive linear form with support orthoprojection $s(\nu) = p_\psi^{\perp}$, where p_ψ is the orthoprojection onto $\mathbb{C}\,\psi$. Thus, ϱ is faithful and ν has support with codimension one. We now consider $\varrho^{\perp}$ in the sense of Theorem 1.11 for $\{\nu, \varrho\}$. By orthogonality with ν then $\varrho^{\perp} = \gamma \cdot \nu_\psi$, where ν_ψ is the vector state of ψ, and $\gamma \geq 0$, real. On the other hand, $\varrho^{\perp} \leq \varrho$ has to be fulfilled. Hence, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ especially,

$$\gamma\,|\langle \psi, \varphi_k \rangle|^2 = \varrho^{\perp}(p_{\varphi_k}) \leq \varrho(p_{\varphi_k}) = \beta^k |\langle \psi, \varphi_k \rangle|^2 \,.$$

From this $\gamma \leq \beta^k$ follows, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\gamma = 0$, and $\varrho^{\perp} = 0$ is seen. But note that $s(\varrho) \wedge s(\nu)^{\perp} = p_\psi$ in this case.

2.3.7. *Fibres and centralizers.* In line with Problem 2.1 (4) now we are going to look for conditions under which on a standard form vN-algebra M and with fixing some individual vector φ_0 in the fibre of ν the hypothesis of Theorem 1.9 could be violated. To that aim, we start looking for additional conditions on the support of ν under which $\varrho^\perp$ can be constructed more explicitly, in each case of ϱ. In making reference to the notion of the *centralizer vN-algebra M^ϱ*, which reads as

$$M^\varrho = \{x \in M : \varrho(xy) = \varrho(yx), \forall\, y \in M\},$$

such conditions can be easily formulated.

Lemma 2.26. *Suppose $\nu, \varrho \in M_{*+}$, with $s(\nu) \in M^\varrho$. Then $\varrho^\perp = \varrho(s(\nu)^\perp(\cdot))$.*

Proof. Owing to $s(\nu) \in M^\varrho$ both $\varrho(s(\nu)^\perp(\cdot))$ and $\varrho(s(\nu)(\cdot))$ have to be normal positive linear forms which sum up to ϱ. Especially, $\omega = \varrho(s(\nu)^\perp(\cdot)) = \varrho(s(\nu)^\perp(\cdot)s(\nu)^\perp)$ then is subordinate to ϱ and is orthogonal to ν by construction. On the other hand, $s(\varrho^\perp) \leq s(\nu)^\perp$ also implies $\varrho^\perp = \varrho^\perp(s(\nu)^\perp(\cdot)s(\nu)^\perp)$. Hence, $\omega - \varrho^\perp = \{\varrho - \varrho^\perp\}(s(\nu)^\perp(\cdot)s(\nu)^\perp) \geq 0$. In view of Theorem 1.11 then $\omega = \varrho^\perp$ follows. ☐

Now, constructions where Lemma 2.22 can be applied, can be easily achieved.

Lemma 2.27. *Suppose $\nu, \varrho \in M_{*+}$, with $s(\nu) \in M^\varrho$, $s(\nu) < s(\varrho)$ and $s(\nu) \sim \mathbf{1}$. Then $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) \neq \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.26 and $s(\nu) < s(\varrho)$, $\varrho^\perp = \varrho(s(\nu)^\perp(\cdot)) \neq 0$ follows. On the other hand, $s(\nu) \leq s(\varrho)$ implies $\nu^\perp = 0$. Moreover, in view of (2.4) and (2.5b) from $p(\xi_\nu) = s(\nu) \sim \mathbf{1}$ the relation $p'(\xi_\nu) \sim \mathbf{1}$ is obtained. Thus a cyclic vector has to exist in $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$. Application of Lemma 2.22 (1) then yields the result. ☐

Clearly, in order that examples in accordance with these premises could exist at all, M has to be supposed to be infinite. Moreover, for factors and faithful ϱ, the assertion of Lemma 2.27 even can be strengthened.

Lemma 2.28. *On an infinite factor M, for $\nu, \varrho \in M_{*+}$ with faithful ϱ, and with $s(\nu) \in M^\varrho$, $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) \neq \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$ happens if, and only if, $s(\nu)$ is infinite and $s(\nu) \neq \mathbf{1}$.*

Proof. As in the previous proof, $s(\nu) \neq \mathbf{1}$ and $s(\nu) \in M^\varrho$ for faithful ϱ imply $\varrho^\perp \neq 0$. Note that the latter condition implies $s(\nu) \neq \mathbf{1}$, by triviality. Hence, for faithful ϱ and $s(\nu) \in M^\varrho$, $s(\nu) \neq \mathbf{1}$ is equivalent to $\varrho^\perp \neq 0$. But then the assertion is a consequence of Theorem 2.23. ☐

In order to see along the lines of Lemma 2.27/2.28 that $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) \neq \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$ can happen, first recall some further facts from modular and operator theory.

Remark 2.29. 1. In case of a standard form vN-algebra $\{M, \Omega\}$, ϱ defined by $\Omega \in \mathcal{S}_M(\varrho)$ is faithful. A fundamental result of modular theory then says that M^ϱ is the same as the fixed-point algebra of the modular *-automorphism group of ϱ:

$$M^\varrho = \left\{x \in M : \Sigma_t^\varrho(x) = x,\ \forall\, t \in \mathbb{R}\right\},$$

where the *modular *-automorphism group $\{\Sigma_t^\varrho\}$ of ϱ* for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ acts on elements $x \in M$ as $\Sigma_t^\varrho(x) = \Delta_\Omega^{\mathrm{i}\,t} x \Delta_\Omega^{-\mathrm{i}\,t}$. As consequence of this one has

$$\overline{\left(M^\varrho\right)_{\mathrm{h}}\Omega} = \overline{M_{\mathrm{h}}\Omega} \cap \overline{M_{\mathrm{h}}'\Omega}\,, \tag{2.17a}$$

$$\overline{\left(M^\varrho\right)_{\mathrm{h}}\Omega} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{sa}}^\Omega\,, \tag{2.17b}$$

with $\mathcal{H}^{\Omega}_{\mathrm{sa}}$ of (2.5b), and where $(\cdot)_{\mathrm{h}}$ refers to the Hermitian portion of the algebra in question. For these facts we refer the reader to [23, 32]. Remark that (2.17a) remains true with positive portions instead of Hermitian parts, accordingly.

2. Relating the structure of M^{ϱ}, if M is properly infinite, by the duality theorem of Connes and Takesaki [13, 33], see also [24], there are a semifinite but infinite-dimensional vN-algebra N and a σ-weakly continuous group $\big(\alpha_t\big)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ of *-automorphisms of N such that M is isomorphic to a so-called W^*-crossed product, $N \bigotimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{R} \simeq M$. Thereby, one knows that N can be isomorphically identified with the fixed-point algebra of $\{\Sigma^{\varrho}_t\}$. Hence, in view of (1) then $M^{\varrho} \simeq N$, and thus M^{ϱ} especially obeys $M^{\varrho} \neq \mathbb{C}\,\mathbf{1}$.
3. Note in context of (2.17a) that if Ω is separating for a vN-algebra N, then according to [9] the condition $\varphi \in \overline{N_+\Omega}$ is equivalent to the existence of a densely defined, positive, selfadjoint linear operator A which is *affiliated* with N, $A\,\eta\,N$, and which obeys $\varphi = A\Omega$. On the other hand one knows that the latter is also equivalent to $\langle (\cdot)|_{N'}\varphi, \Omega\rangle \geq 0$.
4. Especially, if for faithful ϱ a cyclic and separating $\Omega \in \mathcal{S}_M(\varrho)$ is chosen, then owing to $\langle (\cdot)|_{M'}\psi^{\varrho}_{\Omega}(\nu), \Omega\rangle \geq 0$, the previous facts ensure existence of a unique positive selfadjoint linear operator $T\,\eta\,M$ with $\psi^{\varrho}_{\Omega}(\nu) = T\Omega$. In view of the well-known Radon-Nikodym theorem [27] and following [9] T then is referred to as generalized Sakai's Radon-Nikodym operator of ν with respect to ϱ. In this case $j(\nu|\varrho)$ of Remark 2.15 (4) then may be written as $j(\nu|\varrho) = \frac{1}{2}\,\|J_{\Omega}T^{*}J_{\Omega}\Omega - T\Omega\|^{2}$. Thus, in the case at hand $j(\nu|\varrho)$ amounts to be the same as the *skewinformation* $I(\varrho, T)$ of the generalized Radon-Nikodym operator T with respect to ϱ in the sense of [15], see [11, 35, 36, 37] for background informations, and which together with the other facts from Remark 2.15 (4) might facilitate the understanding of the notion of commutation also in those cases which go beyond Example 2.14, see also [4, Definition 3, Lemma 2, Theorem 2].

Close this part by two auxiliary results, which are versions of more general assertions, and which ensure applicability of Lemma 2.27/2.28, in our cases of interest. In the following, for each $a \in M$ and $\mu \in M_{*+}$, we let a normal positive linear form μ^{a} be defined by $\mu^{a}(x) = \mu(a^{*}xa)$, for all $x \in M$.

Lemma 2.30. *Let M be a standard form vN-algebra, and be $\varrho \in M_{*+}$. Suppose $\nu = \varrho^{x}$, for some $x \in M_+$. Then $\psi^{\varrho}_{\Omega}(\nu) = x\xi_{\varrho}$ is fulfilled. Moreover, in case that ϱ is faithful, ν is commuting with ϱ if, and only if, $x \in \big(M^{\varrho}\big)_{+}$ holds.*

Proof. Let Ω be a cyclic and separating vector for M. Note that by assumption on ν, $x\xi_{\varrho} \in \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$. Also, by positivity of x, $h = h_{x\xi_{\varrho},\xi_{\varrho}} = h_{\sqrt{x}\xi_{\varrho},\sqrt{x}\xi_{\varrho}} \geq 0$. From this then $s(h) = p'(\sqrt{x}\xi_{\varrho}) \leq p'(x\xi_{\varrho})$ follows. On the other hand, in any case, $p'(x\xi_{\varrho}) \leq p'(\sqrt{x}\xi_{\varrho})$ has to be fulfilled. Thus we conclude $s(h) = p'(x\xi_{\varrho})$. In view of Theorem 1.5 (3) and Lemma 2.4 (3) then $d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu, \varrho) = \|x\xi_{\varrho} - \xi_{\varrho}\|$ and $\nu^{\perp} = 0$ follow. In the case at hand Lemma 2.8 (1) can be applied and yields $\psi^{\varrho}_{\Omega}(\nu) = x\xi_{\varrho}$.

Suppose ϱ is faithful. Then we can choose a cyclic and separating vector $\Omega \in \mathcal{S}_M(\varrho)$, and will work in the corresponding standard form action of M. Suppose that ν commutes with ϱ. By Definition 2.11, since $\xi_{\varrho} = \Omega$ holds, and since commutation means $\psi^{\varrho}_{\Omega}(\nu) = \xi_{\nu}$, we have $\langle (\cdot)|_{M'}\,\xi_{\nu}, \Omega\rangle = h_{\xi_{\nu},\xi_{\varrho}} \geq 0$. But in view of Lemma 2.9 (2) and Lemma 2.10 also $\langle (\cdot)|_{M}\xi_{\nu}, \Omega\rangle \geq 0$. Hence, $\xi_{\nu} \in \overline{M_+\Omega} \cap \overline{M'_+\Omega}$ by

Remark 2.29 (3). As has been explained in context of (2.17a), this is the same as $\xi_\nu \in \overline{(M^\varrho)_+ \Omega}$. Also, since $M^\varrho \subset M$ implies $M' \subset \bigl(M^\varrho\bigr)'$, Ω must be separating for M^ϱ. Hence, by Remark 2.29 (3) and with $N = M^\varrho$, $\xi_\nu = A\Omega$ follows, with densely defined, positive, selfadjoint linear operator A which is affiliated with $M^\varrho \subset M$. In view of the above then $\psi^\varrho_\Omega(\nu) = x\Omega = A\Omega$. Since Ω is separating, from this $x = A$ follows, that is, $x \in \bigl(M^\varrho\bigr)_+$.

On the other hand, for $x \in M^\varrho$, $x \geq \mathbf{0}$, in view of the above formula $\psi^\varrho_\Omega(\nu) = x\Omega$ and (2.17b), $\psi^\varrho_\Omega(\nu) \in \mathcal{H}^\Omega_{\rm sa}$ follows. Applying Lemma 2.8 (3) accordingly yields $\psi^\varrho_\Omega(\nu) \in \mathcal{P}^\natural_\Omega$. Hence, ν commutes with ϱ. □

Remark 2.31. According to Remark 2.29 (3), if ϱ is faithful, and $\Omega \in \mathcal{S}_M(\varrho)$ is a cyclic and separating vector, then for each $\nu \in M_{*+}$ one has $\psi^\varrho_\Omega(\nu) = x\Omega$, with a densely defined, affiliated with M, selfadjoint positive linear operator x. Thus, by literally the same conclusions as in the previous proof we see that ν will be commuting with ϱ iff $x = x^* \geq \mathbf{0}$ is affiliated with M^ϱ.

Lemma 2.32. *Let M be a standard form vN-algebra, and be $\varrho \in M_{*+}$ faithful. For each $\nu \in M_{*+}$ commuting with ϱ one has $s(\nu) \in M^\varrho$.*

Proof. Let us consider the standard form action of M with respect to cyclic and separating $\Omega \in \mathcal{S}_M(\varrho)$. By assumption such a vector has to exist. As mentioned in the previous proof, $\psi^\varrho_\Omega(\nu) = \xi_\nu$ implies (in fact is equivalent to) $\xi_\nu = A\Omega$, with densely defined, positive, selfadjoint linear operator A which is affiliated with M^ϱ. Thus $s(\nu) \leq q$, where q is the orthoprojection onto the closure of the range of A. By spectral calculus, if $\{E(\lambda)\} \subset M^\varrho$ is the (left-continuous) spectral resolution of A, then $q = \text{l.u.b.}\{E(\lambda) - E(0+) : \lambda > 0\} \in M^\varrho$. Also, owing to $AE(\lambda) \in (M^\varrho)_+$, for ν_λ with $E(\lambda)\xi_\nu = AE(\lambda)\Omega \in \mathcal{S}_M(\nu_\lambda)$, we have $\nu_\lambda \leq \nu$ and $s(\nu_\lambda) = E(\lambda) - E(0+)$. Hence $q \leq s(\nu)$. Thus $q = s(\nu)$ follows. In view of the above then $s(\nu) \in M^\varrho$. □

2.3.8. *Conclusions in the standard form case.* Start with a mechanism for creating pairs of positive linear forms which are relevant in context of Problem 2.1 (4), and which have been found useful in other context [7, Lemma 4,Theorem 6], too.

Lemma 2.33. *Let M be an infinite standard form vN-algebra, and be $\varrho \in M_{*+}$ faithful. For each $\sigma \in M_{*+}$ with $s(\sigma) \sim \mathbf{1}$ there is $a \in M$ with $\mathcal{S}_M(\sigma^a|\varrho) \neq \mathcal{S}_M(\sigma^a)$. In particular, there is an orthoprojection $z \in M^\varrho$ such that $M \simeq zMz$ holds, and $\mathcal{S}_M(\mu|\varrho) \neq \mathcal{S}_M(\mu)$ is fulfilled, for each $\mu \in M_{*+}$ with support $s(\mu) = z$.*

Proof. Let $M = Mc + Mc^\perp$, with orthoprojection $c \in M \cap M'$, be the canonical decomposition of M into a finite component Mc (which might be vanishing) and properly infinite component $Mc^\perp$. By central decomposition techniques, the properly infinite case can be reduced to an appropriately defined field $\{M_\lambda\}$ of properly infinite 'subfactors' M_λ acting over direct integral Hilbert subspaces $\mathcal{H}_\lambda \subset c^\perp\mathcal{H}$, in the sense that each $x \in Mc^\perp$ and $\omega \in (Mc^\perp)_{*+}$ can be written as appropriately defined central integrals $x = \int \oplus x_\lambda d\mu(\lambda)$ and $\omega = \int \oplus \omega_\lambda d\mu(\lambda)$, with some $x_\lambda \in M_\lambda$ and $\omega_\lambda \in (M_\lambda)_{*+}$, such that $\omega(x)$ can be written as $\omega(x) = \int \omega_\lambda(x_\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$ over terms $\omega_\lambda(x_\lambda)$, with $\omega_\lambda = \omega|_{M_\lambda}$, and with respect to some central measure $\mu(\lambda)$. Thereby, the index λ refers to the central decomposition which is isometrically defined relative to some direct integral decomposition $c^\perp\mathcal{H} = \int \oplus \mathcal{H}_\lambda d\mu(\lambda)$ of the Hilbert space $c^\perp\mathcal{H}$, see e.g. [30, *Chapter I.*, 5., 10. Corollary] for the details. Since all decompositions refer to the center and ϱ is faithful over M, for $\varrho_\lambda = \varrho|_{M_\lambda}$ we will have $(M^\varrho c^\perp)_\lambda = M_\lambda^{\varrho_\lambda} \subset M_\lambda$, with faithful ϱ_λ over properly infinite subfactor M_λ. By Remark 2.29 (2), $M_\lambda^{\varrho_\lambda}$ cannot be trivial. Therefore, there is an orthoprojection $\mathbf{0} < c_\lambda < \mathbf{1}_\lambda$, with $c_\lambda \in (M^\varrho c^\perp)_\lambda$. As orthoprojection of the infinite factor M_λ, at least one of c_λ and $c_\lambda^\perp$ must be infinite. We make a choice, and call this infinite projection z_λ. Since M is countably decomposable by assumption, each of M_λ is a countably decomposable infinite factor. Hence, we then must have $z_\lambda < \mathbf{1}_\lambda$ and $z_\lambda \sim \mathbf{1}_\lambda$, with respect to M_λ. Define an orthoprojection z in M as follows:

$$z = c + \int \oplus z_\lambda d\mu(\lambda)\,.$$

One then has $z \in M^\varrho$, $z < \mathbf{1}$, and $z \sim \mathbf{1}$ with respect to M. But then, by assumption on σ, there is $a \in M$ with $a^*a = s(\sigma)$ and $aa^* = z$. It is easily seen that for each such a then $s(\sigma^a) = z$ must be fulfilled. Hence, $s(\sigma^a) \in M^\varrho$ with $s(\sigma^a) < \mathbf{1} = s(\varrho)$ but $s(\sigma^a) \sim \mathbf{1}$, for each such a. By Lemma 2.27 with $\nu = \sigma^a$ then $\mathcal{S}_M(\sigma^a|\varrho) \neq \mathcal{S}_M(\sigma^a)$ follows, for each $a \in M$ with $a^*a = s(\sigma)$ and $aa^* = z$.

Now, let $v \in M$ be a partial isometry with $v^*v = z$ and $vv^* = \mathbf{1}$. Then, the map $\pi : M \ni x \mapsto v^*xv \in zMz$ is a *-isomorphism between M and the hereditary vN-subalgebra zMz. Thus, for μ running through all $\mu \in M_{*+}$ with $s(\mu) = z$, $\sigma = \mu^v$ is running through all faithful $\sigma \in M_{*+}$. In view of $s(\sigma) = \mathbf{1}$, by the above conclusion for each such σ and with $a = v^*$ the result is $\mathcal{S}_M(\sigma^a|\varrho) \neq \mathcal{S}_M(\sigma^a)$. But note that $\sigma^a = \sigma(v(\cdot)v^*) = \mu^v(v(\cdot)v^*) = \mu(v^*v(\cdot)v^*v) = \mu(z(\cdot)z) = \mu$. That is, $\mathcal{S}_M(\mu|\varrho) \neq \mathcal{S}_M(\mu)$ must be fulfilled, for each $\mu \in M_{*+}$ with $s(\mu) = z$. □

Remark 2.34. Note that under the premises of Lemma 2.33 there have to exist both, $\nu \in M_{*+}$ which commute/do not commute with ϱ, but which obey $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) \neq \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$. In fact, according to Lemma 2.30 and Lemma 2.33, $\nu = \varrho^z$ provides a special positive linear form commuting with ϱ and obeying $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) \neq \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$. But according to Lemma 2.33 the latter remains true also for any other $\nu = \varrho^x$, with invertible (within zMz) $x \in (zMz)_+$. If each of these functionals were commuting with ϱ then, by Lemma 2.30, $x \in M^\varrho$ for all those x. By taking the closure we had $(zMz)_+ \subset M^\varrho$, and therefore $zMz \subset M^\varrho$. But then $\varrho|_{zMz}$ would be a faithful tracial normal positive linear form over zMz. This is a contradiction, since owing to $zMz \simeq M$, zMz cannot be finite.

Example 2.35. If M is a factor of type I_∞, things around Lemma 2.33 read quite explicit. In fact, in terms of Example 2.5/2.14 we then have $\varrho = \tau_c$, with uniquely determined, positive definite τ-trace class operator c. By Example 2.14, in this case $M^\varrho = \{x \in M : xc = cx\}$. Then, orthoprojections $z \in M$ obeying $zc = cz$, $\tau(z) = \infty$ and $z \neq \mathbf{1}$ must exist and, by Lemma 2.28 and in line with the last part of the proof of Lemma 2.33, this is the set of all orthoprojections z with respect to which the hypothesis of Lemma 2.33 holds, and therefore each $\nu \in M_{*+}$ with support in this set provides an example with $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) \neq \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$.

In view of Problems 2.1 (3)/(4), we may summarize all that also as follows.

Theorem 2.36. *Let $\{M, \Omega\}$ be a standard form vN-algebra, $\varrho \in M_{*+}$ faithful.*

1. *$\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) = \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$ holds for all $\nu \in M_{*+}$ if, and only if, M is finite.*
2. *For infinite M, there exists $\nu \in M_{*+}$ obeying*

$$d_{\mathrm{B}}(\nu, \varrho) = \|\xi_\nu - \xi_\varrho\| \tag{2.18}$$

such that $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) \neq \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$.

3. *For* $\nu \in M_{*+}$ *satisfying* (2.18), $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) = \{u\xi_\nu : u^*u = \mathbf{1},\, u \in M'\}$ *holds.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.13, condition (2.18) means commutation of ν with ϱ, in the sense of Definition 2.11. Thus (3) follows along with Example 2.18 and formula (2.15a). Relating (1), by Remark 2.2 we yet know that $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) = \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$ is always fulfilled on a finite M. On the other hand, for infinite M, according to Lemma 2.33 and Remark 2.34 there exists ν commuting with ϱ and obeying $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) \neq \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$, which in view of Lemma 2.13 yields (2). Since a vN-algebra is either finite or infinite, this then also completes the proof of (1). □

In the factor case, for mutually commuting normal positive linear forms one of which is faithful, things considerably simplify (see Example 2.35), and then Theorem 2.36 (2) can be supplemented by the following detail.

Lemma 2.37. *Let* M *be a factor acting in standard form. Suppose* $\nu \in M_{*+}$ *obeys condition* (2.18) *with faithful* $\varrho \in M_{*+}$. *Then,* $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) = \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$ *holds if, and only if,* ν *is faithful or the support orthoprojection* $s(\nu)$ *is finite.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.13 and Lemma 2.32, condition (2.18) implies $s(\nu) \in M^\varrho$. Lemma 2.28 then may be applied, and yields $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) = \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$ iff either $s(\nu)$ is finite or $s(\nu) = \mathbf{1}$, cf. also Remark 2.24. □

Example 2.38. For a type-III-factor M, things around commutation are less explicit than in the type-I_∞-case. A characterization like (2.18) which reads in terms of a geometrical condition in context of the Bures distance then possibly is the best one can do. By Lemma 2.13, Theorem 2.36 (2) and Lemma 2.37 tell us that for faithful ϱ there is $\nu \in M_{*+}$ obeying (2.18) and $\mathcal{S}_M(\nu|\varrho) \neq \mathcal{S}_M(\nu)$, and the latter remains true for any ν with (2.18), unless either ν is faithful or is vanishing.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully remember discussions with former students U. Meister, S. Schwebel and U. Steinmetz, and thank for contributing to the 'Project Seminar on Bures Geometry' at Mathematical Institute, in an earlier stage of the project. Thanks go to 'Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft' for funding the research of one of us (PMA) by grants. In particular, thanks go to Eberhard Zeidler (MPI MIS Leipzig), Gerd Rudolph (ITP Leipzig) and the Institute of Theoretical Physics (ITP) for supporting the research program, and for kind hospitality. Last but not least, we have to thank Bodo Geyer, speaker of the 'Graduiertenkolleg Quantenfeldtheorie', for supporting both, lecturing (PMA) and graduating (GP) at the Graduiertenkolleg.

References

[1] P. M. Alberti. A note on the transition probability over C^*-algebras. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 7:25–32, 1983.

[2] P. M. Alberti. On the geometry of pairs of positive linear forms (I). *Wiss. Z. KMU Leipzig,Math.-Naturwiss. R.*, 39(6):579–597, 1990.

[3] P. M. Alberti. A Study on the Geometry of Pairs of Positive Linear Forms, Algebraic Transition Probability and Geometrical Phase over Non-Commutative Operator Algebras (I). *Z. Anal. Anw.*, 11(3):293–334, 1992.

[4] P. M. Alberti. Bures' metric on the normal state space of vN-algebras and differentiability. NTZ-Preprint 17/97, October 1997. `http://www.uni-leipzig.de/~ntz/abs/abs1797.htm`.

[5] P. M. Alberti. Bures Geometry in the State Space of von-Neumann Algebras. (unpublished manuscript), 1998.

[6] P. M. Alberti and A. Uhlmann. Transition probabilities on C^*- and W^*-algebras. In H. Baumgärtel, G. Laßner, A. Pietsch, and A. Uhlmann, editors, *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Operator Algebras, Ideals, and Their Applications in Theoretical Physics, Leipzig 1983*, pages 5–11, Leipzig, 1984. BSB B.G.Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft. Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik, Bd.67.

[7] P. M. Alberti and A. Uhlmann. On Bures-Distance and *-Algebraic Transition Probability between Inner Derived Postitive Linear Forms over W^*-Algebras. *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, 60(1):1–37, 2000.

[8] H. Araki. A remark on Bures Distance Function for normal states. *Publ. RIMS (Kyoto)*, 6:477–482, 1970/71.

[9] H. Araki. Bures distance function and a generalization of Sakai's non-commutative Radon-Nikodym theorem. *Publ. RIMS (Kyoto)*, 8:335–362, 1972.

[10] H. Araki. Some properties of modular conjugation operator of von-Neumann algebras and a non-commutative Radon-Nikodym theorem with a chain rule. *Pacific J. Math.*, 50(2):309–354, 1974.

[11] H. Araki and M. Yanase. Measurement of quantum mechanical operators. *Phys. Rev*, 120(2):622–626, October 1960.

[12] D. Bures. An extension of Kakutani's theorem on infinite product measures to the tensor product of semifinite W^* -algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 135:199–212, 1969.

[13] A. Connes. Une classification des facteurs de type III. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, 6:133–252, 1973.

[14] A. Connes. Caractérization des espaces vectoriels ordonnés sous-jacent aux algèbres de von Neumann. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 24(4):121–155, 1974.

[15] A. Connes and E. Størmer. Homogeneity of the State Space of Factors of Type III_1. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 28(4):187–196, 1978.

[16] P. Dixmier. *Les C^*-Algèbres et leurs Représentations.* Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1964.

[17] H. Dye and B. Russo. A note on unitary operators in C^*-algebras. *Duke Math. J.*, 33:413–416, 1966.

[18] U. Haagerup. The standard form of von-Neumann algebras. *Math. Scand.*, 37:244–262, 1975.

[19] V. Heinemann. *Geometrie und Transformation von Paaren positiver Linearformen über C^*-Algebren.* PhD thesis, Institut f. Mathematik d. Univ. Leipzig, Math.-Naturwiss.Fakultät d.Univ. Leipzig, 1991.

[20] R. H. Herman and M. Takesaki. States and automorphism groups of operator algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 19:142–160, 1970.

[21] R. Kadison and J. Ringrose. *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras*, volume I Elementary Theory. Academic Press, Inc., NY–London–Paris, 1983.

[22] S. Kakutani. On equivalence of infinite product measures. *Acta Math.*, 49(2):214–224, 1948.

[23] I. Kovács and J. Szücs. Ergodic type theorems in von Neumann algebras. *Acta Sci. Math.*, 27:233–237, 1966.

[24] Y. Nakagami. Dual action on a von Neumann algebra and Takesakis duality theorem for locally compact groups. *Publ. RIMS (Kyoto)*, 12:727–775, 1977.

[25] G. Pedersen and M. Takesaki. The Radon-Nikodym theorem for von-Neumann algebras. *Acta Math.*, 130:53–88, 1973.

[26] G. Peltri. *Beiträge und Beispiele zur Bures-Geometrie.* PhD thesis (in preparation).

[27] S. Sakai. Derivations of W^*-algebras. *Ann. Math.*, 83:273–279, 1966.

[28] S. Sakai. *C^*-Algebras and W^*-Algebras.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.

[29] R. Schatten. *Norm ideals of completely continuous operators*, volume 27 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-NY, second edition, 1970.

[30] J. Schwartz. *W^*-Algebras.* Gordon & Breach, New York, 1967.

[31] U. Steinmetz. Das Vertauschen zweier treuer normaler positiver Linearformen. Diplomarbeit, December 1993. Institut f. Mathematik, Univ. Leipzig.

[32] M. Takesaki. Conditional expectations in von Neumann algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 9:309–321, 1972.

[33] M. Takesaki. Duality of crossed products and the structure of von Neumann algebras. *Acta Math.*, 131:249–310, 1973.

[34] A. Uhlmann. The transition probability in the state space of a *-algebra. *Rep. Math. Phys.*, 9:273–279, 1976.
[35] E. Wigner and M. Yanase. Information contents of distributions. *Proc. N.A.S.*, 49:910–918, 1963.
[36] E. Wigner and M. Yanase. On the positive semidefinite nature of a certain matrix expression. *Canadian J. Math.*, 16:397–406, 1964.
[37] M. Yanase. Remarks on the theory of measurement. *Am. J. Phys.*, 32:208–211, 1964.

INSTITUTE OF THEORETICAL PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEIPZIG, AUGUSTUSPLATZ 10, D-04109 LEIPZIG, GERMANY
E-mail address: `Peter.Alberti@itp.uni-leipzig.de`

NATURWISSENSCHAFTLICH-THEORETISCHES ZENTRUM, UNIVERSITY OF LEIPZIG, AUGUSTUSPLATZ 10, D-04109 LEIPZIG, GERMANY
E-mail address: `Gregor.Peltri@itp.uni-leipzig.de`